

178.1/1905-06  
c.2

COLORADO STATE PUBLICATIONS LIBRARY



3 1799 00124 9176

PROPERTY OF THE LIBRARY  
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO

Biennial Report  
OF  
State Board  
*of*  
Land Commissioners  
OF  
Colorado

1905-1906



DENVER, COLORADO  
THE SMITH-BROOKS PRINTING CO., STATE PRINTERS  
1906



5-222  
1: 906

Biennial Report  
OF THE  
State Board  
*of*  
Land Commissioners  
OF  
Colorado

1905-1906



DENVER, COLORADO  
THE SMITH-BROOKS PRINTING CO., STATE PRINTERS  
1906

MEMBERS OF STATE BOARD OF LAND COMMISSIONERS.  
1905-1906.

---

Jesse F. McDonald .....Governor  
James Cowie .....Secretary of State  
Nathan C. Miller .....Attorney General  
Katherine L. Craig.....Superintendent of Public Instruction

---

Mark G. Woodruff, Register.  
Anna B. Brandt, Deputy Register.  
James R. Hezmalhalch, Chief Clerk.

# BIENNIAL REPORT

OF THE

## State Board of Land Commissioners

OF COLORADO

---

Denver, Colorado, November 30, 1906.

To His Excellency,  
JESSE F. McDONALD,  
Governor.

Sir—In compliance with law, I submit in the following pages a report of the business of the State Board of Land Commissioners for the years 1905-6, being the fourteenth report from this department, and containing tabular statements showing the receipts from all sources, the amount of land belonging to the various funds, its disposition, etc.

On March 1st, 1903, the Republican party, by its representatives in office, took charge of the administration of the affairs of this department. We found its records to be of the crudest sort, and with no systematic method in vogue for handling the immensely valuable lands belonging to the various State institutions, the care of which lands is by law vested in this board. The office was in a chaotic condition in other respects, there being no system of filing documents as they were received into the office, no system of recording the text and provisions of leases, deeds or other agreements, and it was frequently found that when actually needed important documents could not be found.

I at once took up vigorously the preparation of plat and tract books, the necessity for which had been recognized by my predecessor, and who had begun such work. In the making of these records we were able to adjust many titles, to add much land to the State's holdings which had apparently been forgotten, and to ascertain that large areas of lands were being used without any authority therefor having been granted by this board. These matters furnished the department with a great amount of labor, but I am gratified to state that at the date of this report I have finished and placed in use as useful a system of records

as can be devised for an office that is required to maintain plat and tract books and at the same time transact a constantly growing commercial business with the citizens of this State in the sale of land, the issuance of leases for all purposes and the collection of the payments thereon. These books are so arranged that we can in a moment's time give an inquirer the full data concerning any piece of land that the State owns; all important documents, leases, bonds, certificates of purchase, deeds, etc., are not only recorded, but are filed in the office, so that there is no possibility of the department suffering loss by the disappearance of important papers.

During the past two years we have collected large sums of money on certificates of purchase and leases, which amounts became due many years prior to our tenure of office, so that to-day the books are comparatively free from old indebtedness, and they should be kept so in future, if succeeding administrations will keep the present collection register up to date and in use. This book shows the date upon which every amount to be paid the State will become due.

It is a matter of gratification that the methods, herein briefly described, have resulted in securing the largest revenue this department has turned in to the State treasury during its existence.

For the biennial period ending November 30, 1904, the receipts of the office exceeded those of the previous administration by \$201,000.00.

The biennial period just closed exhibits a marked increase in excess of the receipts for the previous period. In 1903-4 the receipts were \$574,176.04. For 1905-6 the total receipts are \$683,941.62. The gain for 1905-6 is \$109,765.58.

These statements are made for the purpose of showing the immense amount of clerical work that we have been called upon to perform and to show to the public that the appropriation of funds made by the General Assembly for the Land Board has not been frittered away in useless and extravagant expenditures. We have produced results.

### THE LAND BOARD.

Since January 11, 1905, the State Board of Land Commissioners has held seventy-five meetings. It has considered 158 applications for the purchase of lands. Of these sixty-nine have been ordered sold, and eighty-nine have been rejected. It has issued 1,439 grazing leases, 271 agricultural leases, and fourteen coal and miscellaneous leases. It has granted seventy-four rights of way deeds for reservoirs, ditches, highways and railways, and it has issued 207 patents for lands. It has audited 2,007 bills, and has had five hearings of from one to three days each.

The Register and his assistants have written 14,500 letters, and have handled 3,450 other pieces of mail.

### THE LEASING SYSTEM.

The State of Colorado owns 3,759,534.15 acres of School, Indemnity School, Agricultural College, Internal Improvement, Public Building, Penitentiary, University and Saline lands, of which 2,458,240.32 are now under lease for all purposes. (The various tables attached to this report show the specific purposes for which leases are issued.) The funds in the hands of the State Treasurer relating to each of the classes of lands above enumerated are divided into two classes, as, for instance, the School Permanent Fund and the School Income Fund. The School Permanent Fund consists of the money derived from the sale of land belonging to the public schools of the State. The other permanent funds are created in like manner, and in each instance these funds are kept invested to the fullest possible extent. These permanent funds can not be invested in any manner by the State Treasurer so that they may become lost to the institution or interest to which they belong, and only the interest earned by them may be used. This interest, when paid to the State Treasurer, becomes a part of the Income Fund of the class to which the Permanent Fund belongs. To this Income Fund is added all rentals, and royalties paid for leases, as well as money derived from the sale of timber. The Income Fund is used for the support of the institution to which it belongs. Thus, by the leasing system of management, the lands of Colorado can be made to yield a very considerable aid to its internal development.

It has been the ambition of this department during the present biennial period to lease all its available lands. We have gone farther, and created desirable lands for leasing purposes by selecting the most valuable grazing lands in Colorado as Indemnity lands, so that the revenue to the various Income Funds might become large enough to materially aid in their support, thus, in the end, lessening the burden of taxation on the people of the State. It was for such a purpose that these lands were granted to the State, and any Land Board which, by inefficient appointments, or neglect, fails to meet the full requirements of this trust will be censurable indeed.

With these purposes in view an effort has been made to establish a system for filing and advertising all applications. Applications for grazing or agricultural leases are filed in this office in the reception book for a charge of fifty cents. They are given a number, are carried through the plat and tract books, and then to the advertising clerk. An advertisement is prepared and sent to the weekly newspaper published nearest to the land applied for, where it is run for a period of thirty days. While the advertising is running we cause an appraiser to visit the land applied for, and report in writing upon the purposes for which the land is being used, its value for all purposes, whether or not it contains coal or other minerals, the value of the improvements, availability for irrigation, etc. Upon report of the appraiser,



the Land Board determines the charge for the lease. Should there be two or more applications filed for the lease of the same lands, we then submit the matter to sealed bids, and award the land to the highest bidder. Provided that the right is reserved to the former lessee to meet the highest bid offered and retain lease on the land. An advertisement fee of \$5.00 is invariably collected from each applicant.

Applications for coal mining, metalliferous and other mineral leases do not require advertisement, but advertisement may be ordered if, in the opinion of the Board, the best interests of the State will be subserved thereby.

Applications to purchase lands are received and filed in the reception book at a cost of fifty cents. The application is then appraised at a cost of \$10, to be paid by the applicant, which money is used in defraying the expenses of the Land Office appraiser in visiting the land. His report deals fully with all conditions, and is the basis of any action that the Land Board may take. If ordered sold, the applicant must advance an advertising fee of \$17; and the sale, together with all the conditions thereof, is advertised in the paper nearest the land, and also in the newspaper of the city and county of Denver which has the State printing contract. Unless otherwise stated in the advertisement, the sale always occurs at the office of the State Land Board, and is at public auction to the highest bidder. Should the buyer be other than the applicant, he must reimburse the original applicant for all the expenses he has advanced. Improvements on the land, if not owned by the purchaser, must be paid for in cash on day of sale.

### DITCHES, RESERVOIRS, COUNTY ROADS, ETC.

Not until recent years have the builders of reservoirs, ditches, highways, etc., begun to recognize that the lands of the State of Colorado are not subject to appropriation by filing, as in the case of government lands. This administration has made a consistent effort to force such users of our lands to secure title. Wherever an appraiser finds a reservoir, ditch, road or other incumbrance on State land it is his duty to report it. We then take it up. The procedure for securing a right-of-way deed is to file an accurate map of the proposed easement, accompanied by a copy of the surveyor's field notes, an application to purchase the easement, and \$10.50 to pay the appraisement and filing fees. After appraisement the case is presented to the Land Board, which determines the damages to be paid by the applicant, and orders the right-of-way deed issued.

This administration has never hesitated about granting such easements, believing that by so doing it was assisting in the development of the State. On the other hand, the citizens of Colorado have not evidenced a desire to secure legal possession



of the lands occupied for purposes herein stated. Our efforts to compel them to secure rights of way have been directed to moral persuasion. I now recommend that actions at law be commenced by the incoming Attorney General for the purpose of determining the rights of the State Land Board in these cases. In the determination of ditch rights, suits could be properly brought against the Water Supply and Storage Company of Fort Collins and F. C. Grable, both of whom are old and serious offenders. (See applications 1754 and 1290, now on file in this office.)

The rights of boards of county commissioners to open highways over and across State lands, without regard to section lines or compensation, is most serious and should be adjudicated. In Routt county this department is losing a large revenue by reason of our lessees being prevented from using land because of a wagon road cutting the tract in two pieces, thereby depriving them of access to water, or making the cost of fencing the tract prohibitive. Like conditions prevail in many counties. As our State is being rapidly settled by homeseekers and these uses made of land to a greater extent each year, they appear to be of growing importance.

### THE LEASING SYSTEM AND SALES OF STATE LAND.

The people of Colorado have been educated to believe that the State and school lands should be controlled only and entirely by the operations of the present leasing system, and that no lands should be sold. It has frequently been said that the sale of school land is a crime, and that whenever any such land is sold the Land Board is robbing the "unborn generations." It is urged that by selling lands a large permanent fund may be accumulated, and which would later be dissipated through unwise investments.

It would be useless to attempt to answer all of the arguments presented in favor of an exclusive leasing system by those self-styled patriots who appropriate to themselves the exclusive care of the honor of the State and the good of the public schools.

It is sufficient to deal with the question sanely and from the standpoint of the good of the whole people. These lands were granted to the State for the purpose of supporting and sustaining the institutions named in the grants. In the case of the common schools of Colorado, it would be fair to inquire as to how much of the present expense of maintaining said schools is provided for by the revenue derived from leasing school lands. If, at this time, when the largest revenue ever secured from leasing is being turned into the State treasury, such revenue is not sufficient to defray a fair proportion of the expenses of our common school system, then it is reasonable to say that the leasing system will not provide a sufficient revenue in future years, because the school ex-

penses of Colorado are bound to increase at a much greater rate than will the revenue from leasing lands. What are the facts?

In 1905 it cost \$4,191,785.95 to support the common schools of Colorado. Of that amount the Land Board paid only \$172,935.55. The remainder was paid by and through taxation, and any reasonable man must conclude that the public schools must eventually be supported by direct taxation. This being so, the objection to the sale of lands should disappear. There should always be sufficient caution had in consideration of any application to purchase to see that the State interests secure all the land is worth and the Land Board should use every effort to give the widest publicity to every sale.

The only sound policy for the government of the State lands is for the Land Board to place its unimproved lands in the hands of tenants who will reclaim the land, construct homes and provide water for irrigation. It should be a part of the lease contract that after the lapse of a stipulated period, and upon reclamation of an agreed percentage of the land the lessee should have the right to order the land on sale. Colorado does not want a tenantry citizenship, but we do want a community of tax-paying citizens.

In the consideration of this question the matter of irrigation plays an important part. It is the source of agricultural prosperity. At this time Colorado and the great West are witnessing an unparalleled growth in agricultural communities. Great irrigation schemes are contemplated or are in process of construction. Wherever water can be had for storage purposes, it is being conserved. There is no law, nor is there a fund provided by law, whereby the State Board of Land Commissioners may participate in these enterprises to the extent of procuring water rights for its lands. Under such conditions the lands will be unable to secure water unless placed in the hands of private ownership.

Lands that are purely grazing in character should, in most cases, be held for the advance in value that will come with future development. There are counties in the State, however, where the State owns more land than is good for the development of that locality. Routt county is particularly unfortunate in this respect. Inclusive of school lands we hold 344,510 acres; much of it is splendidly located for agricultural purposes, and some of it comprises splendid bodies of coal. It has been impossible to interest capital in the building of large irrigation works for that county, because of these large bodies of indemnity school lands being so situated as to compel the canal builders to seek title to them. The Land Board has felt that public sentiment, falsely fostered as it has been, would not support such a sale. These facts have had largely to do with the backward conditions prevailing there. A magnificent railroad is building into that vast empire, but at about the time its financiers could begin to see their rails crossing the Continental di-

vide, they also found the State of Colorado preventing development, settlement and reclamation by refusing to sell any lands. Then came the order of the President of the United States whereby a large area was withdrawn from entry under any of the acts of Congress. Something should be done in such a case, and I recommend to Your Excellency that the State Board of Land Commissioners order a public sale of at least 75,000 acres of land in Routt county, purchasers at such sale to be limited to 320 acres and to be required as a condition of the sale to improve a stipulated amount of land each year for a definite period, to erect a certain class of improvements, etc.

I also recommend that in addition to the requirements of the statute for advertising all sales of land, you require each sale to be advertised by posters placed in public places by the county superintendent of schools. Affidavit of such posting should be required before a sale is approved.

During the present biennial term the Board has sold 25,967.72 acres of land. The average price received was \$6.70 per acre.

### APPRAISEMENTS.

I again beg to call the attention of Your Excellency to the splendid work performed by the appraisers during this biennial term. There have been 1,459 appraisements made, which cover 875,400 acres of State land. These men are required to make their reports in writing. The reports are preserved in a bound book and each is given a number. That number appears on the tract books of the office opposite each piece of land that has been viewed. Thus a reference is had to the report. This system has proven to be of great value.

Our appraisers have been diligent in ascertaining and reporting the illegal fencing and use of our lands, and have enabled us to correct many of these evils. This feature of the work of this department should receive careful attention at the hands of the General Assembly. The labor is increasing rapidly and it requires intelligent, able men to perform it. I, therefore, recommend that five appraisers be provided for in the general appropriation bill at a salary of \$1,500.00 each, per annum, and that the sum of \$5,000.00 be appropriated for their annual expenses.

A little more than one-fourth of all the land owned by the State has been thus appraised during the four years I have served as Register.

### IRRIGATION DISTRICTS.

The formation of various irrigation districts in Colorado has presented many perplexing problems to the consideration of the State Land Board. In all of these districts there are some state lands.

The "Irrigation District Law," as it is known, provides that the revenue for the payment of district bonds and expenses shall be secured by taxation. The lands must be owned in fee simple in order to be subject to taxation. Therefore, the State and school lands situated within said districts can not secure water for irrigation so long as they continue to be the property of the State, except upon the payment of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  times the cost to deeded lands. The State lands never acquire the same proportionate ownership in the water as do the deeded lands.

The Board has been frequently urged to sell all lands so situated, and in some cases it has made such sales. But the fact that the lands are within the boundaries of an irrigation district would seem to warrant the assumption that their value will be materially increased by so amending the irrigation district law as to provide some method for making the lands bear their proportionate expense of the bonds and maintenance of the district, so that when the bonds are finally redeemed the school and State lands will enjoy the same rights of ownership in the water as will deeded lands.

Either this must be done, and in addition thereto a sufficient appropriation must be made for the payment of such assessments, or the State's holdings will be surveyed out of each district, and thus deprived of securing water at all. The value of such lands would thereby materially decrease.

The other alternative is to sell all the State's holdings in such districts. In order to become a part of the district, such lands must be paid for in cash and patent secured. If the sales were made on the 18 year installment plan the purpose of the sale would be defeated.

The Irrigation Districts now operating are the Otero, the Bijou (Fort Morgan) and the Julesburg, situated in the portions of the State indicated by the names. In all of these districts the State owns land.

A suit is now pending in the courts between the State Board of Land Commissioners and the Bijou district. The issue in this case is as to payment for school lands which constitute a part of the district's reservoir. The district contends that under the provisions of the irrigation district law, it has the right to appropriate to its use any school lands that may be necessary in the construction of reservoirs and ditches. The Land Board contends that under the Constitution of the State no lands can be thus used without adequate payment having been made therefor.

In the Montezuma Valley an effort is being made to establish a district. The State has about 12,000 acres of school and agricultural college land in this proposed district. This statement should indicate the importance of the matters herein suggested. The General Assembly owes it to the schools and institutions of the State to give careful consideration to a remedy for the evils assailing them.

### SPECIAL FEATURES.

During my connection with this department, and especially during the present biennial period, strong efforts have been made to develop the resources of school and State lands in other respects than for agricultural and grazing purposes. Leases have been granted for various purposes and every aid that was at our command has been extended to such lessees.

About the time the present administration went into power a final decision was rendered by the Court of Appeals in the case of Brennan, Statton et al. vs. The American Sulphur Mining Company. This was a case for the possession of certain leases granted by the Land Board in 1902 upon portions of section 16, township 39 north, range 2 west, where large deposits of sulphur ore had been discovered. After the court had given possession to the lessees recognized by this Board, it was found that The American Sulphur Mining Company was unable to work the property because of financial troubles. At this time the property is in the possession of Charles A. Lewis, an expert refiner of sulphur. A plant of machinery is on the ground and is in operation. The results are very gratifying. A new industry has been added to the State. The lessee is now turning out about four tons of sulphur per day. The product is 98.4 per cent. pure, and is the highest grade sulphur obtainable in the United States. There are about 5,000 tons of crude ore developed.

In the vicinity of Florence the Blaney Lease has been a steady producer of oil, and is the only oil lease that ever paid a royalty to the State.

On section 30, township 40 north, range 12 east, an effort is being made by E. M. Falke to produce commercial soda from the waters of a small lake. This lake is fed from a small spring. Much speculation has existed as to the origin, extent, value, direct source of supply, nature and future maintenance of this spring, and with these points in view, we secured the services of Professor Herman Fleck of the School of Mines, who has submitted an exhaustive report, now on file. This report has been of value to the lessee in conducting his experiments, and will no doubt result in the erection of a successful plant for the treatment of this mineral.

The American Sienna Marble Company of Boston, Mass., is operating a large deposit of marble on section 36, township 17 south, range 71 west. This piece of ground will no doubt prove a valuable property. The company is strong and has expended a considerable sum of money in development. In preparing our new books we found that this company had a lease on land situated several miles from the land actually operated, and this is one of the many cases of a similar nature.



In Grand county there exists a considerable body of gilsonite. Different parties lay claim to the land, but at a hearing had in this office the evidence seemed to warrant the assumption that the larger portion of the mineral is situated on section 16, township 4 north, range 77 west. The only way in which our rights can be established is to cause an official survey to be made. This board has not had money to expend for that purpose. I recommend that the General Assembly appropriate the sum of \$3,500 for that purpose, or so much thereof as may be necessary.

John Voorhees, of Colorado Springs, is the lessee of the W.  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the S. W.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 14, township 16 south, range 67 west, on which is found a valuable deposit of engravers' stone. This is the only known bed of workable stone in the west. Other deposits are known to exist, but it is said that the stone in those places can not be secured in sizes sufficiently large to be of practical value.

Numerous coal leases are in existence and a report thereon will be found under the head of the Mineral Department.

### CAREY ACT SELECTIONS.

Under the provisions of the Act of Congress, approved August 18, 1894, and amendments thereto, the State of Colorado was granted 1,000,000 acres of arid land. The purpose of the act was to aid the State in the reclamation of this class of lands. The subject is here presented for the reason that the general public does not seem to possess knowledge of the provisions of the Carey act, nor the great benefit it may confer on Colorado.

An individual, association of persons or a corporation may take advantage of this legislation and become applicants for lands.

The initial step required is to prepare an accurate map, showing first the lands proposed to be reclaimed, the system of irrigation, and the source of the water supply. Great care must be exercised in preparing this map, as the government will not accept it if it contains any errors, does not show in detail all intersections with section lines, together with proper ties at such points from nearest section corners, etc. In the case of a reservoir no tie can be made to a point that will be under water when the reservoir is filled.

This map, together with an estimate of the cost of the construction, and a proposition for a contract, must then be presented to the Land Board. The Register is then required to refer the entire matter to the State Engineer, who makes affidavit as to his investigations. He must approve the feasibility of the plan and show the board that the water supply is adequate for the purpose, or the proposition will be rejected.

If approved by the Land Board the applicant is then called upon to deposit a certified check guaranteeing good faith, and the

papers are then sent to the General Land Office. After investigation by the government, and upon approval, the Land Board then proceeds to draw a contract fixing the time in which the irrigation works shall be completed and will deliver water to the land, and fixing the maximum price that may be charged for a water right. The lands are then ready for settlement in not to exceed 160-acre tracts. The settler is first required to go to the company or organization constructing the works and make a contract for a water right. On presentation of this contract at this office he will be allowed to file on 160 acres of land. At the end of three years he will have to show that he has cultivated and reclaimed not less than one-eighth of the entire tract and secure patent from the State.

When all of the lands thus segregated under the contract of any one applicant shall be entered and the water rights paid for, the ditch and its attributes become the property of the land owners.

The charge for the land is fifty cents per acre.

This administration has completed the segregation of 38,000 acres along the Snake river in Routt county. The applicant is the Colorado Realty and Securities Company, of which W. C. Johnston is president. The ditch is now in process of construction. This comprises a splendid body of land and will add materially to the resources of the State. The company has until December 16, 1910, to complete the canal and deliver water to all of the lands. A water right shall consist of not less than one-half a cubic foot of water per second of time for each forty-acre tract, and shall not cost to exceed \$25.00 per acre.

Similar applications are now pending on behalf of John T. Noonon for lands in eastern Adams and Arapahoe counties, and on behalf of the Colorado Land and Water Supply Company for lands in La Plata county.

To persons looking for monetary investments this field becomes enticing, and the opportunities opened in Colorado by this legislation are most alluring.

For the legislation pertaining to this subject reference is made to the Act of Congress passed August 18, 1894, and the Act of the General Assembly of Colorado appearing in the Session Laws of 1895, at page 157.

### **BUILDING OF STATE RESERVOIRS.**

Some years ago Colorado made an effort to assist in the reclamation of certain districts by constructing reservoirs. The purpose at that time was to have the State do the building and store the water for the use of all farms under the reservoir. Late irrigation was to be assisted and in seasons when a scarcity of water existed, the State storage reservoirs were to be called upon to help the farmer. About \$100,000.00 was expended in these reservoirs. They were constructed by boards of control



as named in the various acts passed by the General Assembly, but as time went on they were lost sight of because of the changing personnel of the State officials, and finally it appears that no one was giving any particular attention to them. It then became expedient to transfer the control of these reservoirs to the various counties in which they are located.

An investigation made by this office revealed the fact that the only reservoir, so constructed, that is now in use is that on Monument Creek, a few miles from Palmer Lake.

It would appear that the practical failure made in these matters is chargeable to the method of the Legislature in fixing their control. Instead of special boards, it should have been vested in the State Engineer and the Land Board.

For the purpose of stimulating the development of State and school lands, I now recommend that an appropriation of at least \$50,000 be made from the Internal Improvement fund for the purpose of constructing two or more storage reservoirs and ditches at such points as the State Engineer and Land Board may select, securing water and water rights, etc., and that the water therein impounded be used for the irrigation of State and school lands. The State Engineer should have charge of construction, and also of the distribution of the water from year to year. The Land Board should designate the lands upon which the water should be used and have authority to fix the charges for water and land leased in conjunction.

There are a dozen counties where such reservoirs would assist the State lands, and the benefits would be so great by the increase in value and rental charges, that the cost of construction could be very shortly returned to the fund from which it is advanced.

---

## FOREST LAND.

---

BY WILLIAM T. FAIRFAX, TIMBER WARDEN.

In the biennial report of 1904, recommendation was made to the General Assembly that changes were necessary in the laws relating to the management, sale, removal and growth of the timber situated upon school and State land. Attention was called to the splendid work being done in Colorado by the United States Bureau of Forestry. An appropriation was asked, the expenditure of which would enable this department to materially develop the timber resources of Colorado. The General Assembly did not enact the forestry legislation presented for its consideration, neither did it give us an appropriation whereby this department might take up the work contemplated.

At that time the Bureau of Forestry contemplated the establishment of additional reserves in Colorado. The purpose of

these reserves is to protect their fruitfulness to the people so that the fullest use of same may be had. It is the desire of the department to sell as much timber as is matured and at reasonable prices, so that the building interests of the State may be well supplied. And also, it is its policy to protect the younger growth of timber and prevent its destruction or removal. In years past, timber pirates have gone upon the public domain and stripped it clean of both old and young timber, leaving the limbs, slash and debris scattered over the ground to dry and become highly combustible. In the evolution of destruction, the next step would be for some careless citizen to leave a camp fire so located that a wind would scatter the coals through this dry brush and a great forest fire would sweep all of the remaining timber and younger growth from the hills. The Forestry Bureau is taking care of these fires and in the burned districts it is replanting the timber. It is regulating the use of the reserves by grazers of cattle and sheep. Its rangers are daily inspecting camps and their watchfulness has resulted in the prevention of any fires during the past two years. It is remarkable that not a single forest fire of any magnitude has occurred during the past two years, and no greater tribute can be paid to the effectiveness of the Forest Bureau service in Colorado than is found in this statement. The reserves now in this State are the Park Range, Medicine Bow, White River Plateau, Battlement Mesa, Uncompahgre, Montezuma, San Juan, San Isabel, Cochetopa, Wet Mountain, Gunnison Forest Reserve, Leadville, Holy Cross, Pike's Peak, South Platte and Plumm Creek. In all of these reserve districts a great many school sections were located and a great majority of them were released and producing no revenue to the State. They were not known to be valuable for mineral and the greater part of them were not particularly well timbered, but the fact that they were contained within the exterior boundaries of forest reserves gave this department authority, if it saw fit to so do, to exchange the school sections so situated for indemnity or lieu lands situated in other portions of the State and which lands could be made revenue producers by grazing leases. We worked in close unison with the officers of the Forest Bureau in the administration of the timber matters affecting these sections, and where it was deemed advisable by the Land Board to sell timber situated upon any school section within forest reserves, we were given the benefit of the experience of an expert employe of the Forestry Bureau in the measurement and the terms of sale. We soon began to realize, however, that the effectiveness of the reserve policy would be largely handicapped by the State attempting to handle the timber in these forest reserves. We found that we were handicapped by a limited appropriation and that on the other hand, the United States Government was fully equipped with men and money to properly develop the timber reserves of the State.

As before stated, these lands were not producing any revenue to the State, and by exchanging them we were able to secure lands which could be leased, and, therefore, determined to turn over to the Forestry Bureau the management of the timber upon the school sections, and in following out that policy, we have tendered to the United States 449,075 acres, and have received in lieu thereof an equal number of acres situated in grazing districts, all of which are under lease at not less than five cents per acre per annum.

There still remains in the name of the State of Colorado a large number of school sections situated outside of forest reserves which are fairly well timbered, and in some instances, comprise splendid bodies of timber. During the past two years we have begun a campaign to prevent abuse of timber upon the school sections, and we have been able by a close attention to the timber lands, by constant inspection of lands upon which the timber was being lawfully cut, and by carefully estimating the growing timber, to make a success of the timber department. Our men are practical, and the results have been most satisfactory. In the forest parts of the State where depredations have heretofore been committed, we have established a system which gives immediate knowledge to this department of any effort on the part of anybody to illegally remove timber. We have been energetic in investigating and prosecuting complaints. Our officer has traveled constantly during the year in examining timber lands upon applications for purchase, and in inspection of contracts. He has used the latest methods for measuring timber in the tree, which is by having the land cross-sectioned and picking out various one-acre tracts upon which each tree is measured; thus securing an average of the entire section. His reports on file show the contour of the land, the species of trees, the number of feet, board measure, contained therein, and the value per thousand, as indicated by the locality and accessibility of the timber.

The total number of feet sold by the State Land Board during the past two years is 5,002,018 feet, the total price is \$9,573.04, and the average price per thousand received was \$1.90. Your attention is called to the fact that we have received more money for our timber in the four years that this department has been under Republican rule than was received for all of the timber sold prior to that time.

During the last biennial period we have investigated a great many complaints against persons for the illegal removal of timber, and such cases have been found in Archuleta county, El Paso county, Custer county, Las Animas county, Larimer county, Montezuma county, Routt county, Jefferson county, Fremont county and Teller county. In all of these cases we were able to effect a settlement with the persons accused. In most of the cases it was shown that depredation had been committed through a failure to secure surveys of the section lines, and thus definitely establish the boundaries of the land from which timber could be removed under contracts with the government or from lands owned by the

individual. The cases were becoming quite numerous in Routt county, and in order to impress upon the citizens of that district the necessity of obeying the State laws with reference to timber, we deemed it necessary to prosecute Mr. Ernest Campbell, charged with illegally obtaining timber from school lands. The case was tried in the September term of the District Court in the year 1906, and the defendant was convicted.

In my opinion, the office of timber warden should be continued, and he should be given one assistant. The work can hardly be handled successfully by one man. The timber warden's duties at this time are to examine timber lands upon which applications to purchase have been filed, to visit from time to time all tracts of land where timber is being cut for the purpose of requiring that the terms of all contracts issued be strictly lived up to. These inspections should be made at least once a month, and it is impossible for one man to do the work under those conditions. I therefore recommend that the General Assembly make an appropriation of \$2,500.00 to be used by the State Board of Land Commissioners in employing an assistant timber warden, and for paying the expenses of the timber warden and his assistant.

### STATE LAND BOARD.

As at present constituted, the State Land Board consists of the Governor, the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, and the State Superintendent of Public Instruction. These are all elective officers charged with the administration of other departments, and whose time is required by the departments of which they are the executive heads. It, therefore, seems that the administration of the Land Board's affairs is but an extra burden upon them. It has been proven to my entire satisfaction that the affairs of this office would be better administered, and the people of the State would profit materially, if the Constitution could be amended so as to provide for the appointment and election of a Land Board consisting of other than the elective officers above referred to. The Board should consist of a Register and Receiver and a Chief Appraiser, and the duties of the office should be so divided among them that their time would be given to the office work and to the direction of the field forces. A daily session of the Land Board so constituted should be held, which would enable the business of the office to be expedited materially.

It is too much to ask of any human being to carry the business of this department in his mind so that he can sit on the Board meeting for two hours on one day in a week and intelligently transact its business. The development of this department is keeping pace with the growth of the State, and, in my judgment, it is now time that the General Assembly should devise some other method of handling the State and school lands.

I am not displaying any desire to criticize the valuable service rendered the people by the present Board and its predecessors.

sgrs. They have performed miracles in the reorganization of the Land Office, but in my opinion the service can be materially strengthened along the lines suggested herein.

---

## MINERAL LAND.

---

BY WILLIAM COWDERY, SUPERINTENDENT.

The mineral department of the State Land Board was created by the 13th General Assembly, and has been organized and put into effect by William Cowdery, superintendent, under the direction of the Register.

Before the present administration took charge the lessees of the mineral lands made what returns they thought proper and worked the State lands as best suited their own interests. Since the mineral department has been in charge of these leases, we have exercised a general supervision of the inside workings of the different mines, requiring the lessees to work the properties so that the State would get its full amount of royalties, and also preserve the property for future production. The present law does not allow time enough in the leases to exhaust the properties, and mine managers do not always work their properties on a plan that would insure the saving of the entire acreage. Also, in cleaning up the parts of the mines that are worked over, we have insisted that all of the vein be taken out and none left. This has required a monthly inspection of the coal mines which takes a good deal of time, as three of the mines cover a good deal of territory and require constant attention. Surveys are regularly made and accurate maps kept up to date so that in case of trouble in future years, accurate data can always be found. The measurements are made monthly, and the results checked with the royalties paid, and the original field books preserved, so that a good record is kept of each month's operation. All this has been done in addition to other work in this department which requires frequent long trips and reports on new applications.

The work of this department in making surveys and taking measurements in the coal mines on State lands was at first very difficult. The lessees had been operating and paying as they thought best, and some of them made it as inconvenient for the State's representative as possible. But after they found it was to be a permanent thing, and that the inspection and measurements would help them in their operations, they have entered into the arrangement and do all they can to facilitate the work. The mines are being extended and new leases are becoming mines, so that the work of this department is constantly growing and its importance to the future would suggest that the appropriation for its support be kept up to the requirements of the office.



Some of the most important coal mines of the State are located on School sections, among which are the Maitland mine at Walsenburg, Delagua No. 6 at Delagua, the Berwind mine at Berwind, the Forbes mine at Chicosa and in the Northern coal fields, we have the Bamm mine, which is being opened on a large scale. It is situated near Erie, Weld county, on the Union Pacific R. R.

The Maitland mine is operated by The Victor Fuel Company, and the product taken from same is considered one of the best grades of coal mined. The vein was formerly from three to four feet in thickness, but now has increased in the West workings to six feet. It has a dip of about five degrees, and nearly every part of the mine is dry. The mine is well equipped with electric haulage and mining machinery and can produce 800 to 1000 tons per day. Arrangements are now being made to make this mine one of the largest in the district. The tonnage for the last two years was 112,083 tons; \$11,208.31 royalty paid.

The Berwind mine, operated by The Colorado Fuel and Iron Company, is considered the best steam coal mine in Southern Colorado. This mine is mainly on school section 36, township 31 south, range 65 west. All of the outside mine equipment, railroad switch, etc., are on State land, and the coal from the surrounding properties is worked through the State openings, but at present, very little of the State coal under this lease is being mined. An effort has been made to remedy this, and a larger production has been promised. This lease produced 79,148 tons of coal during the last biennial period, with a payment of \$7,914.85.

The Forbes mines on section 16, township 32 south, range 64 west, are worked under Lease M 63 held by The Colorado Fuel & Iron Company. This lease is operated by The Chicosa Fuel Company, and this company has three new openings which will produce about 600 tons per day. This property has been worked for the last fifteen years and three of the original mines have been worked out. The section now contains about 200 acres of solid coal. This lease has paid \$2,445.48 in royalties in the last two years.

The Delagua, No. 6, mine is operated under Lease No. M 46 held by The Victor Fuel Company. This is one of the largest steam coal mines in Colorado. The vein is about 6 feet thick, and quite uniform. In the first working several bands of dirt divided the vein, but most of this has now disappeared. The first north entry in this mine is one mile long and is driven straight across the property. No room pillars have yet been drawn, but nearly all of them are in good condition. The production here for the last two years has been 235,164 tons, for which \$23,516.49 royalty has been paid.

Coal Lease No. 1 is held by The Southwestern Fuel Company. This lease is on 160 acres in section 16, township 30 south, range 65 west, in Las Animas county. This property has no railroad

connection and only operates when the roads are good and teams can be secured to haul the coal. They have a good vein of domestic coal, and the mine produced 14,224 tons of coal during the last biennial period.

Coal Lease No. 2 is on section 36, township 6 south, range 69 west. This property is about twenty-five miles southwest of Denver, near Platte Canon. The vein here is about 7 feet thick, and is nearly perpendicular. The coal is lignite and finds sale among the farmers living in the vicinity of the mine. No very large production can be expected from this property.

Coal Lease No. 4 is on 1,074.45 acres of Agricultural College land and is held by The Bear Canon Coal Company of Trinidad. This property is about three miles west of Berwind in Las Animas county. Some development work has been done, and 5,000 tons of coal have been mined and paid for. The output of this property, however, is restricted to the minimum on account of the lessees being unable to get a railroad switch to the mine. They are obliged to haul the coal in wagons to the Berwind switch and find considerable trouble to get it hauled at all. With a railroad switch to the mine, a daily output of about 300 tons could be expected. The vein is about 4 feet thick and of good quality.

Coal Lease No. 5 is on 40 acres of land near Cortez, Montezuma county, and is held by Benjamin Whithead. This mine does not amount to much, the vein being only about 30 inches thick.

Coal Lease No. 6 is on 280 acres near Santa Clara, in Huerfano county, to John Gibbons. Nothing has been done as yet in the way of production. The prospecting has not been entirely satisfactory.

Coal Lease No. 7, held by A. A. Foote, is on section 16, seven miles west of La Veta, in Huerfano county. The coal from this property is of a good quality and is used for domestic purposes, but owing to the fact that the property has no railroad switch, the production does not amount to much. The vein is nearly perpendicular, making the cost of mining considerable more than it is in the flat veins.

Coal Lease No. 8 is on 480 acres, near Durango, in La Plata county. This lease pays the State \$100 per year. No production or work is being done for the reason that the property is inaccessible, except through other land and will not be reached for several years.

Lease No. 9, held by The Crested Butte Coal Company, is on 640 acres near Crested Butte. This land is being developed and shows a good vein of anthracite coal. The property is situated on a very high and rough mountain and will require an expensive tram to connect it with the railroad. It now pays \$200 per year minimum royalty to the State.

Lease No. 10, held by William Padfield, is on section 36, township 29 south, range 69 west, near Santa Clara, in Huerfano



county. This will prove to be a good piece of coal property, but as yet a workable vein has not been found. The minimum royalty is \$100 per year.

Lease No. 11 is on section 36, township 36 north, range 13 west, near Mancos, Montezuma county, and is held by The Mancos Coal Company. Considerable expensive development work is being done and a good mine will undoubtedly be the result. This lease pays the State \$100 per year, minimum.

Lease No. 511 is on section 16, township 19 south, range 70 west, which is about two miles from Canon City. This lease was originally granted some twenty years ago, and has been the cause of considerable trouble and litigation between the lessees and their assigns and the different Land Boards. When the mineral department was organized, one of the first things accomplished was to get a report on the different properties then under lease. This report showed that this Canon City section was one of the most valuable properties which the State owned, as the acreage in Colorado of this quality of coal was very limited. In fact about all was being worked. Steps were at once taken to compel the lessees to either work the property or return it to the State, but this was found to be a difficult matter, as the lease was not drawn as carefully as leases are now made. After a good many conferences, an arrangement has finally been made whereby the property is to be worked on a plan that will insure a large and regular income to the State. The coal here is about 700 feet below the surface, and it will cost the lessee about \$100,000 to open the mine.

Lease M 64 held by F. N. Bancroft, is on 160 acres in section 16, township 30 south, range 65 west. This land is inaccessible and can only be worked through other lands. However, the minimum royalty is being paid until it can be reached.

Coal Lease No. 9289 to Albert Broadhead is on on the S. W. 4 of section 16, township 30 south, range 69 west. There is no production here, but efforts are being made to compel the lessee to operate the lease or return it to the State.

Coal Lease No. 8829 to The Carbon Fuel Company is on 80 acres of coal land near the city of Trinidad. The lessee is now developing the property and a good revenue is expected.

Lease No. M 74 is held by The Utah Fuel Company, and is on section 16, township 27 south, range 67 west. This lease is not being worked at present, but the minimum royalty is being paid.

Lease No. M 67 is on 160 acres near Rockvale, Fremont county, and is held by The Purity Fuel Company. This lessee has had considerable trouble getting a shaft down to the coal and as yet they have not opened a workable vein.

M 68 is a coal lease on 160 acres of land near Durango, granted to The Porter Fuel Company. No coal is being mined, but the minimum royalty of \$100.00 per year is being paid until such time as the mine being worked on adjoining land reaches it.

The State owns in Routt county 101,600 acres of coal land. This land comprises the regular school sections, Nos. 16 and 36 in each township and also considerable lieu lands which have been selected in place of certain school sections lost in different parts of the State. This land contains from three to twelve veins of workable coal from  $3\frac{1}{2}$  feet to 22 feet in thickness. A considerable amount of this land will be quite a distance from the railroad now being built into the county. These lands have been leased in some instances, to parties who intend to operate them as soon as transportation is made possible, which will be in two or three years from now. A minimum rental is being paid with the understanding that production will commence as soon as the product can be disposed of.

The metalliferous lands of the State are divided into lots of ten acres each, and these lots are leased on a basis of \$10.00 each, per annum, flat rental, besides a royalty on the ore extracted. This small rental has been a source of considerable revenue to the State as a full section returns an annual rental of \$640.00. A great many of the mineral school sections are of very little value; in fact, all the most desirable ones were taken up before the State's rights attached, and some have been relinquished to the United States Government and agricultural lands taken in their place.

The State has four sections in the Cripple Creek district that are leased by lots and which bring in a good rental. So far only one mine has been found that proved to be a shipper. This is on lot 8 of section 16, township 15 south, range 69 west. The lease under which this mine is being worked is held by The Co-operative Mining and Milling Company. This company works fifteen to twenty men on low grade ore, and has paid \$3,413.38 in royalties during the last two years. Their shaft is 500 feet deep with four levels.

---

## THE LEGAL DEPARTMENT.

---

BY WILLIAM C. MATHEWS, ATTORNEY.

Since my appointment in May of this year my work has been largely of an advisory character, counseling with the Board and members of the office force.

With their active co-operation I have collected from delinquent purchasers and lease-holders a little more than \$8,000.00, a portion of which was extremely doubtful. At present most of the claims of this nature are well in hand, and the amounts being small, they will, in all probability, be paid without suit to enforce collection.

In September, acting under instructions of the Board, I was successful in securing the conviction of one Ernest Campbell in the District Court of Routt County for unlawfully cutting tim-

ber on State lands; this will undoubtedly have a salutary effect upon those disposed to despoil the State and school lands of its timber.

In conjunction with Attorney General Miller's office, by direction of the Board, suits have been begun in the District Court of Yuma county against L. D. Brown and M. C. Briggs, respectively, to set aside sales of school lands because of deceit practiced upon this office in the avoidance and suppression of the necessary publication of sales; these suits are now pending.

This office is of great importance to the Board, as many matters pertaining to contracts, sales, taxation, water, rights of way, etc., are constantly arising for consideration and determination; all of which have received my best attention during the limited time of my incumbency, and in dealing with these several matters as they have arisen, I have, with the hearty help of the office, achieved some measure of success.

---

### IN CONCLUSION.

At a time when I am not only closing my biennial report to Your Excellency, but am voluntarily retiring from the department, I desire to pay a sincere tribute to those employes of the department with whom I have been associated. The work that has been accomplished could not have been successfully concluded without the intelligent assistance of a clerical force of splendid ability. We have been fortunate in having the services of James R. Hezmalhalch as chief clerk, a man of unusual attainments. In all the various departments we have had employes who were loyal, energetic, and actuated by a harmonious desire to make the State Land Department the model institution of its kind in the great West.

The record of our service is before you.

Sincerely and respectfully submitted,

MARK G. WOODRUFF.

## COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF LANDS LEASED FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES.

## SCHOOL AND INDEMNITY LANDS.

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902.		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Adams .....	18,640	\$ 1,158.40	26,400	\$ 1,548.40	35,096.28	\$ 2,226.65
Arapahoe .....	22,343	1,194.40	22,343	1,194.40	29,100.86	1,625.20
Archuleta .....	3,556.02	228.50	5,826.02	359.70	19,542.42	1,196.75
Baca .....	64,610.94	2,381.73	72,590.43	2,784.73	70,921.19	2,722.23
Bent .....	56,927.72	3,302.86	71,877.67	4,041.96	73,181.15	4,150.10
Boulder .....	4,334.83	530.25	4,614.83	602.25	5,404.42	770.75
Chaffee .....	1,520	92.80	1,520	92.80	880	60.80
Cheyenne .....	2,400	134.40	7,200	428.80	12,640	703.20
Clear Creek .....	760	59.20	960	69.20	960	77.60
Conejos .....	39,562.62	2,632.45	40,122.62	2,684.45	33,892.12	2,484.00
Costilla .....	7,600	308.40	9,840	638.40	8,480	600.80
Custer .....	11,960	771.80	12,970	826.80	10,330	630.20
Delta .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Denver .....	932	2,084.00	932	2,084.00	615.81	1,833.55
Dolores .....	140	34.00	1,360	104.80	1,360	112.80
Douglas .....	17,420	1,257.15	18,060	1,280.35	16,257	1,281.35
Eagle .....	7,481.97	519.50	8,644.97	612.10	6,320	613.00
Elbert .....	78,012.85	4,554.45	89,012.85	5,148.85	141,602.49	7,987.85
El Paso .....	125,504.70	6,000.11	147,799.55	7,287.06	212,570.37	10,346.87

Fremont .....	26,470.95	1,651.15	29,195.01	1,818.75	31,697.82	1,976.02
Garfield .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Gilpin .....	280	20.00	600	88.00	359	41.60
Grand .....	10,197.72	834.75	49,277.82	2,893.95	48,630.72	2,932.45
Gunnison .....	520	116.00	1,080	170.00	2,480	257.20
Hinsdale .....	2,280	163.20	2,440	173.20	1,920	134.40
Huerfano .....	18,840	1,140.00	26,680.65	1,620.80	32,264.40	2,045.00
Jefferson .....	9,496	1,008.25	10,527	1,116.45	8,780.52	1,070.70
Kiowa .....	20,045.81	981.55	24,575.81	1,237.55	17,199.93	943.19
Kit Carson .....	26,992.04	1,394.00	36,312.04	1,893.00	55,457.40	2,918.80
Lake .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
La Plata .....	5,800	247.80	11,300.14	585.81	9,221.82	652.61
Larimer .....	55,780.40	4,531.80	92,527.81	6,852.10	103,440.73	8,178.30
Las Animas .....	63,412.19	3,407.80	84,124.19	4,519.40	94,704.05	5,126.45
Lincoln .....	83,902.05	3,840.47	104,302.05	4,975.07	122,014.45	6,257.65
Logan .....	33,320	2,756.40	48,600	3,577.20	145,333.31	8,569.65
Mesa .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Mineral .....	1,120	77.60	1,360	89.60	1,361	103.80
Montezuma .....	5,320	598.00	6,800	714.00	7,880	840.25
Montrose .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Morgan .....	34,251.51*	2,710.15	38,091.51	2,910.55	54,995.53	3,775.95
Otero .....	83,691.22	5,140.33	113,182.37	6,694.36	141,697.19	8,437.81
Ouray .....	1,120.92	116.75	1,240.92	126.75	1,231.85	134.75
Park .....	10,120	732.05	13,160	1,109.65	18,080	1,428.20
Phillips .....	13,845.24	803.48	20,125.24	1,163.68	18,862.84	1,179.48

# COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF LANDS LEASED FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES.—Concluded.

## SCHOOL AND INDEMNITY LANDS.

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902.		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Pitkin .....	240	62.00	1,120	152.00	1,280	140.40
Prowers .....	27,163.61	1,583.27	28,999.14	1,650.07	21,258.22	1,397.30
Pueblo .....	90,131.01	5,273.93	156,239.18	7,564.18	179,038.88	8,654.96
Rio Blanco .....	1,280	137.00	1,280	137.00	800	99.20
Rio Grande .....	7,910	1,370.00	8,670	1,424.60	9,364.80	1,735.30
Routt .....	29,303.57	2,725.45	64,958.35	4,868.13	80,364.34	5,769.99
Saguache .....	16,920	1,733.00	19,400	1,928.60	21,261.82	2,159.75
San Juan .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
San Miguel .....	4,160	319.60	7,320	554.40	9,716.39	746.40
Sedgwick .....	12,320	705.30	12,320	705.30	27,547.40	1,425.81
Summit .....	320	24.00	320	24.00	960	76.80
Teller .....	3,560	223.60	6,120	392.30	7,047.17	519.45
Washington .....	53,680	2,815.20	68,400	3,551.20	87,519.47	4,828.90
Weld .....	83,829.56	6,359.45	96,349.56	7,213.19	131,072.35	10,348.70
Yuma .....	50,210.67	2,928.05	63,739.25	3,643.77	66,358.15	3,870.07
Totals .....	1,351,904.12	\$ 85,936.98	1,738,041.98	\$109,898.26	2,240,445.66	\$138,200.19

# AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE LANDS.

## FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES.

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Baca .....	635.42	\$ 50.88	635.42	\$ 50.88	439.71	\$ 35.20
Bent .....	1,904.16	96.00	1,904.16	96.00	369	18.00
Fremont .....	2,674.41	140.00	2,674.41	140.00	1,920	96.00
Larimer .....	2,378.70	34.00	4,298.70	132.40	3,498.70	217.35
Las Animas .....	560	42.00	560	42.00	.....	.....
Montezuma .....	1,665	319.20	6,474.49	656.10	5,881.18	1,077.35
Otero .....	3,640	286.00	3,800	296.00	5,024.16	293.20
Pueblo .....	200	10.00	300	20.00	4,913.83	247.70
Rio Grande .....	160	48.00	160	48.00	.....	.....
Routt .....	556.28	40.00	876.28	60.00	876.28	52.00
Totals .....	14,373.97	\$1,066.08	21,742.46	\$1,541.38	22,913.86	\$2,036.80



## INTERNAL IMPROVEMENT LANDS.

## FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES.

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Bent .....	2,424.29	\$ 180.00	3,254.50	\$ 223.90	3,441.80	\$ 225.50
Chaffee .....	240	22.00	240	22.00	240	22.00
Conejos .....	5,206.57	761.60	6,206.57	876.40	9,719.94	1,274.74
Costilla .....	36,912.36	1,101.60	36,952.36	1,103.60	40,482.34	1,315.30
Lake .....	34.72	10.00	34.72	10.00	629.32	39.75
Logan .....	2,000	182.00	2,000	182.00	2,040	190.00
Otero .....	1,730	251.05	1,720	251.05	2,360	789.20
Park .....	10,789.31	744.80	13,935.26	921.15	10,453.81	694.35
Prowers .....	3,757.31	605.75	5,837.31	623.35	5,313.85	591.35
Pueblo .....	800	182.00	1,280	206.00	1,147.72	89.40
Rio Grande .....	17,437.62	4,228.30	17,877.62	4,443.90	16,802.32	4,898.00
Saguache .....	45,120.41	4,158.41	50,884.38	4,410.11	49,539.67	5,250.06
Washington .....	640	36.00	640	36.00	480	26.00
Yuma .....	8,784	904.00	8,824	914.00	8,170.91	891.53
Totals .....	137,806.50	\$13,367.51	149,686.72	\$14,223.46	150,824.71	\$16,297.18

## PUBLIC BUILDING LANDS.

## FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES.

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Bent .....	160.00	\$ 10.00	160	\$ 10.00	160	\$ 10.00
Clear Creek .....	981.41	71.20	981.41	71.20	1,021.41	82.15
Conejos .....	478.62	90.00	478.62	90.00	318.62	90.00
Jefferson .....	829.76	58.80	989.76	68.80	431.49	30.00
Weld .....	2,400	122.00	2,400	122.00	2,240	112.00
Totals .....	4,849.70	\$352.00	5,009.79	\$362.00	4,171.52	\$324.15

## PENITENTIARY LANDS.

## FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Bent .....	1,640	\$186.00	1,720	\$196.00	1,960	\$233.60
Chaffee .....	190	32.00	190	32.00	190	32.00
Conejos .....	317.31	32.40	317.31	32.40	160	22.00
Totals .....	2,147.31	\$250.40	2,227.31	\$260.40	2,310	\$287.60

## UNIVERSITY LANDS.

## FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Conejos .....	80	\$ 10.00	80	\$ 10.00
Jefferson .....	600	45.60	920	61.60
Logan .....	2,372.01	496.40	2,532.01	509.20
Washington .....	240	34.00	240	34.00
Totals .....	3,292.01	\$586.00	3,772.01	\$614.80

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Conejos .....	80	\$ 10.00
Jefferson .....	920	61.60
Logan .....	2,773.36	756.85
Washington .....	80	24.00
Totals .....	3,853.36	\$852.45

## SALINE LANDS.

## FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Park .....	720	\$ 60.00	720	\$ 60.00

County	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Annual Rental
Park .....	1,511.33	\$108.00

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT, SHOWING TOTAL ACREAGE OF ALL LANDS LEASED FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES, WITH ANNUAL RENTAL FOR BIENNIAL TERMS, 1901-1902, 1903-1904 and 1905-1906.

COUNTY.	Biennial Term, 1901-1902			Biennial Term, 1903-1904			Biennial Term, 1905-1906		
	Total Acres	Annual Rental		Total Acres	Annual Rental		Total Acres	Annual Rental	
Adams .....	18,640	\$ 1,158.40		26,400	\$ 1,548.40		35,096.28	\$ 2,226.65	
Arapahoe .....	22,343	1,194.40		22,343	1,194.40		29,160.86	1,625.20	
Archuleta .....	3,566.02	228.50		5,826.02	359.70		19,542.42	1,196.75	
Baca .....	65,246.36	2,432.61		73,225.85	2,835.61		71,380.90	2,757.43	
Bent .....	63,056.08	3,774.86		78,916.33	4,567.86		79,105.95	4,637.20	
Boulder .....	4,334.83	590.25		4,611.83	602.25		5,404.42	770.75	
Chaffee .....	1,950	146.80		1,950	146.80		1,310	114.80	
Cheyenne .....	2,460	134.40		7,200	428.80		12,640	703.20	
Clear Creek.....	1,741.41	130.40		1,941.41	140.40		1,981.41	159.75	
Conchos .....	45,645.12	3,526.45		47,205.12	3,693.25		44,170.68	3,880.74	
Costilla .....	44,512.35	1,470.00		46,792.36	1,742.00		48,962.34	1,916.10	
Custer .....	11,960	771.80		12,970	826.80		10,330	630.20	
Delta .....	.....	.....		.....	.....		.....	.....	
Denver .....	932	2,084.00		932	2,084.00		680.81	1,933.55	
Dolores .....	440	34.00		1,360	104.80		1,369	112.80	
Douglas .....	17,420	1,237.15		18,060	1,280.35		16,257	1,281.35	
Eagle .....	7,484.97	519.50		8,644.97	612.10		6,320	613.00	
Elbert .....	78,012.85	4,554.45		89,012.85	5,148.85		141,602.49	7,987.85	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT, SHOWING TOTAL ACREAGE OF ALL LANDS LEASED FOR AGRICULTURAL AND GRAZING PURPOSES, WITH ANNUAL RENTAL FOR BIENNIAL TERMS, 1901-1902, 1903-1904 and 1905-1906.—Concluded.

COUNTY.	Biennial Term, 1901-1902		Biennial Term, 1903-1904		Biennial Term, 1905-1906	
	Total Acres	Annual Rental	Total Acres	Annual Rental	Total Acres	Annual Rental
El Paso.....	125,504.70	6,060.11	147,799.55	7,287.06	212,570.37	10,346.87
Fremont .....	29,145.36	1,791.15	31,809.42	1,958.75	33,617.82	2,072.02
Garfield .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Gilpin .....	280	20.00	630	88.00	359	41.60
Grand .....	10,197.72	834.75	49,277.82	2,893.95	48,630.72	2,932.45
Gunnison .....	559	116.00	1,080	170.00	2,480	257.20
Hinsdale .....	2,280	163.20	2,440	173.50	1,920	134.40
Huerfano .....	18,840	1,140.00	26,880.65	1,620.80	32,364.40	2,045.00
Jefferson .....	10,925.76	1,112.65	12,436.76	1,246.85	10,132.01	1,162.30
Kiowa .....	20,095.81	981.55	24,575.81	1,237.55	17,199.93	943.19
Kit Carson.....	26,992.04	1,394.00	36,312.04	1,863.00	55,457.40	2,918.80
Lake .....	34.72	10.00	34.72	10.00	629.32	39.75
La Plata.....	5,800	247.80	11,300.14	585.81	9,221.82	652.61
Larimer .....	58,159.10	4,565.80	96,826.51	6,984.50	106,989.43	8,395.65
Las Animas .....	63,972.10	3,449.80	84,648.19	4,561.40	94,704.05	5,136.45
Lincoln .....	83,902.05	3,840.47	104,302.05	4,975.07	122,014.45	6,257.65
Logan .....	37,692.01	3,431.80	53,132.01	4,208.40	150,146.67	9,516.50
Mesa .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

Mineral .....	1,120	77.60	1,350	89.60	1,361	163.80
Montezuma .....	6,985	917.20	13,274.49	1,370.10	13,761.18	1,917.60
Montrose .....						
Morgan .....	34,251.51	2,710.15	38,091.51	2,910.55	54,995.53	3,775.95
Otero .....	89,051.22	5,677.38	118,702.37	7,241.41	149,081.35	9,520.21
Ouray .....	1,120.92	116.75	1,240.92	126.75	1,231.85	134.75
Park .....	21,629.31	1,536.85	27,815.26	2,090.80	30,045.17	2,230.55
Phillips .....	13,845.24	803.48	20,125.24	1,163.68	18,862.84	1,179.48
Pitkin .....	240	62.00	1,120	152.00	1,280	140.40
Prowers .....	32,920.92	2,189.02	34,836.45	2,273.42	26,572.07	1,988.65
Pueblo .....	91,131.01	5,465.93	157,909.18	7,790.18	185,100.43	8,992.06
Rio Blanco.....	1,280	137.60	1,280	137.60	800	99.20
Rio Grande.....	25,507.62	5,646.90	26,707.62	5,916.50	26,167.12	6,633.30
Routt .....	29,859.85	2,765.45	65,834.63	4,928.13	81,240.62	5,821.99
Saguache .....	62,040.41	5,891.41	70,284.38	6,338.71	70,801.49	7,409.81
San Juan .....						
San Miguel.....	4,160	319.60	7,320	554.40	9,716.39	746.40
Sedgwick .....	12,320	705.30	12,320	705.30	27,547.40	1,425.81
Summit .....	320	24.00	320	24.00	960	76.80
Teller .....	3,560	223.60	6,120	382.30	7,047.17	519.45
Washington .....	54,560	2,885.50	69,280	3,621.20	88,079.47	4,878.90
Weld .....	86,229.56	6,481.45	98,749.56	7,335.19	133,312.35	10,400.70
Yuma .....	58,994.67	3,832.05	72,563.25	4,557.77	74,529.06	4,761.60
Totals .....	1,515,153.70	\$101,618.97	1,976,201.27	\$126,960.30	2,426,065.44	\$158,207.17

## COAL LEASES.

COUNTY	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid
Adams .....	640	\$ 400.00	1,280	\$ 500.00	640	\$ 200.00
Archuleta .....	.....	.....	160	153.30	160	157.71
Douglas .....	.....	.....	640	100.00	640	200.00
El Paso .....	.....	.....	640	100.00	.....	.....
Fremont .....	640	500.00	800	550.00	800	700.00
Gunnison .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	640	300.00
Huerfano .....	1,920	3,243.00	2,120	12,773.00	3,080	32,195.95
La Plata .....	160	100.00	160	100.00	640	400.00
Las Animas .....	1,440	12,869.95	3,114.45	12,081.53	2,994.45	13,145.54
Montezuma .....	40	10.00	40	10.00	680	247.17
Routt .....	5,200	65.00	21,000	645.00	10,040	1,090.00
Weld .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	640	440.68
Totals .....	10,040	\$ 17,187.95	29,954.45	\$ 27,012.83	20,954.45	\$ 49,077.05



## OIL LEASES.

COUNTY	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid
Boulder .....	1,440	\$ 166.66	.....	.....	.....	.....
El Paso.....	1,240	100.00	.....	.....	3,200.62	\$ 410.00
Fremont .....	45	100.00	683.42	\$ 1,465.45	683.42	1,920.47
Grand .....	640	.....	1,280	200.00	640	200.00
Larimer .....	.....	.....	640	100.00	.....	.....
Totals .....	3,365	\$ 366.66	2,603.42	\$ 1,765.45	4,524.04	\$ 2,539.47

## MINERAL LEASES.

COUNTY	Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1902		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1904		Biennial Term Ending November 30, 1906	
	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid	Total Area Leased, Acres	Rental and Royalty Paid
Boulder .....	20	\$ 40.00	20	\$ 50.00	30	\$ 60.00
Custer .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	40	60.00
Chaffee .....	50	30.00	110	133.35	60	120.00
Douglas .....	120	240.00	120	120.00	120	150.00
Fremont .....	300	400.00	300	585.00	200	390.00
Gilpin .....	40	170.00	40	170.00	10	20.00
Jefferson .....	10	20.00	10	10.00	.....	.....
La Plata.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	80	140.00
Park .....	50	110.00	50	135.00	20	40.00
Teller .....	1,870	5,155.13	1,910	6,369.69	2,130	7,303.08
Totals .....	2,460	\$ 6,165.13	2,560	\$ 7,553.04	2,620	\$ 8,283.08

GENERAL STATEMENT OF ALL LEASES

SHOWING THE NUMBER OF ACRES OF SCHOOL AND STATE LANDS NOW OWNED BY THE STATE IN EACH COUNTY, ACREAGE UNDER LEASE AND VACANT, CHARACTER OF LEASES AND ANNUAL RENTAL DERIVED THEREFROM.

COUNTY	Acres Owned by State	Acres	Grazing Rental	Acres	Agricultural Rental	Acres	Coal Rental	Acres	Oil and Gas Rental	Acres	Mineral Rental	Acres	Miscellaneous Rental	Total Acres Under Lease	Total Acres Vacant	Total Yearly Rentals	COUNTY
1 Adams	10,043.64	34,229.28	\$ 1,848.65	867	\$ 378.00	640	\$ 200.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	35,736.28	4,307.36	\$ 2,426.65	Adams 1
2 Arapahoe	36,552.20	31,280.86	1,615.20	120	122.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1 lot	\$ 12.00	31,400.86	5,151.34	1,749.20	Arapahoe 2
3 Archuleta	36,618.21	19,502.42	1,184.76	40	12.00	160	157.71	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	19,702.12	6,916.79	1,354.46	Archuleta 3
4 Baca	89,098.38	71,360.90	2,757.43	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	71,360.90	17,737.48	2,757.43	Baca 4
5 Bent	128,110.54	77,225.95	4,161.20	1,880	476.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	79,105.95	49,004.59	4,637.20	Bent 5
6 Boulder	14,425	4,720	308.80	684.42	461.95	.....	.....	.....	.....	30	\$ 60.00	.....	.....	5,434.42	9,000.58	830.75	Boulder 6
7 Chaffee	50,648.68	1,200	80.80	110	34.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	60	120.00	20	50.00	1,390	49,258.68	281.89	Chaffee 7
8 Cheyenne	63,918	12,640	703.20	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	640	200.00	13,280	50,638	906.20	Cheyenne 8
9 Clear Creek	11,427.01	1,941.41	141.35	40	18.40	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,981.11	9,446.60	159.75	Clear Creek 9
10 Conejos	84,632.47	39,652.75	2,631.60	4,517.93	1,249.14	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	44,170.68	40,461.79	3,880.74	Conejos 10
11 Costilla	62,399.75	48,162.34	1,690.10	800	226.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	638.59	200	49,600.93	12,798.82	2,116.10	Costilla 11
12 Custer	18,960	10,250	606.20	80	24.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	40	60.00	.....	.....	10,370	8,590	690.20	Custer 12
13 Delta	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Delta 13
14 Denver	1,110.87	.....	.....	680.81	1,983.55	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	27.8	1,384.37	708.61	402.26	3,317.92	Denver 14
15 Dolores	23,680	1,320	92.80	40	20.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,360	22,320	112.80	Dolores 15
16 Douglas	17,583.62	15,777	827.35	480	154.00	640	200.00	.....	.....	120	150.00	.....	.....	17,017	566.62	1,331.35	Douglas 16
17 Eagle	18,924.97	6,280	601.00	40	12.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,320	42,604.97	613.00	Eagle 17
18 Elbert	143,252.85	140,922.49	7,709.85	680	278.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	141,602.49	1,650.36	7,987.85	Elbert 18
19 El Paso	217,806.45	212,130.37	10,214.87	440	132.00	.....	.....	3,200.62	\$ 410.00	.....	.....	80	80	216,850.99	1,954.16	10,836.87	El Paso 19
20 Fremont	37,488.99	33,336.32	1,886.62	281.5	185.50	800	700.00	683.42	1,920.47	200	390.00	320	300	34,981.24	22,507.75	4,882.49	Fremont 20
21 Garfield	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Garfield 21
22 Gilpin	2,800	359	41.60	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10	20.00	.....	.....	369	2,431	61.60	Gilpin 22
23 Grand	68,479.23	47,910.72	2,606.90	720	265.55	.....	.....	640	200.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	49,270.72	19,208.51	3,132.15	Grand 23
24 Gunnison	11,065	2,010	127.20	440	130.00	640	300.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,120	7,945	587.20	Gunnison 24
25 Hinsdale	21,840	1,920	134.40	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,920	19,920	134.40	Hinsdale 25
26 Huerfano	50,961.66	32,024.40	1,973.00	240	72.00	3,080	32,195.95	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	35,344.10	15,617.26	34,210.95	Huerfano 26
27 Jefferson	19,516.76	9,377.51	658.30	754.5	504.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	160	591.14	10,292.01	9,224.75	1,753.11	Jefferson 27
28 Kiowa	165,392.15	17,079.93	907.19	120	36.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	17,199.93	88,162.22	943.19	Kiowa 28
29 Kit Carson	74,522.77	55,377.40	2,894.80	80	24.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	55,487.40	19,065.37	2,918.80	Kit Carson 29
30 Lake	2,696.46	629.32	39.75	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	629.32	1,067.14	39.75	Lake 30
31 La Plata	23,911.25	9,221.82	652.61	640	400.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	80	140.00	.....	.....	9,941.82	13,969.43	1,192.61	La Plata 31
32 Larimer	135,715.72	102,810.63	6,243.60	4,128.8	2,152.05	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	106,939.43	28,776.29	8,396.69	Larimer 32
33 Las Animas	148,369.11	94,664.05	5,114.45	40	12.00	2,994.45	13,145.54	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	96,798.50	51,579.64	18,271.99	Las Animas 33
34 Lincoln	139,542.66	122,014.45	6,257.65	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	122,014.45	17,527.60	6,257.65	Lincoln 34
35 Logan	153,713.35	144,673.31	7,514.65	5,473.36	1,999.85	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	150,146.67	3,566.68	9,516.50	Logan 35
36 Mesa	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Mesa 36
37 Mineral	10,075	1,321	91.50	40	12.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	480	100	1,841	8,234	293.80	Mineral 37
38 Montrose	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Montrose 38
39 Montezuma	51,793.92	12,481.18	1,513.60	1,280	404.00	680	247.17	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	14,411.18	37,352.74	2,164.77	Montezuma 39
40 Morgan	55,730.34	52,915.53	3,050.75	2,080	725.20	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	54,985.53	734.81	3,775.95	Morgan 40
41 Otero	150,124.02	145,056.97	7,814.81	4,024.38	1,705.40	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	149,081.35	1,042.67	9,620.21	Otero 41
42 Ouray	5,841.92	1,070.89	86.45	160.96	48.30	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,231.85	4,610.07	131.75	Ouray 42
43 Park	80,890.79	29,685.17	2,138.55	360	92.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	20	10.00	.....	.....	30,065.17	50,825.62	2,270.55	Park 43
44 Phillips	24,942.84	18,382.84	1,035.48	480	144.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	18,862.84	6,080	.....	6,080	1,179.18	Phillips 44
45 Pitkin	9,184.91	1,160	104.40	120	36.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,280	7,904.91	110.10	Pitkin 45
46 Prowers	63,122.73	25,019.16	1,510.70	1,552.91	477.95	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	25,572.07	38,550.66	1,988.64	Prowers 46
47 Pueblo	240,512.94	183,795.97	8,457.06	1,305.36	535.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	40	50	185,140.43	55,132.51	9,042.06	Pueblo 47
48 Rio Blanco	5,140	640	51.20	160	48.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	800	4,610	99.20	Rio Blanco 48
49 Rio Grande	11,687.93	10,836.48	872.85	15,350.64	5,760.45	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	26,167.12	18,520.81	6,631.39	Rio Grande 49
50 Routt	318,150.25	79,083.17	3,078.74	2,187.45	743.25	10,040	1,090.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	91,280.62	226,869.63	6,911.99	Routt 50
51 Saguache	154,261.51	60,311.80	3,779.01	10,489.69	3,630.80	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	70,801.49	83,460.66	7,409.81	Saguache 51
52 San Juan	13,760	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,760	.....	San Juan 52
53 San Miguel	31,798.27	9,636.39	722.40	80	24.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,716.39	22,081.61	716.40	San Miguel 53
54 Sedgwick	29,910.29	27,547.40	1,425.81	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	27,547.40	2,362.89	1,425.81	Sedgwick 54
55 Summit	17,280	960	76.80	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	960	16,320	76.80	Summit 55
56 Teller	15,360	7,007.17	507.15	40	12.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,130	7,303.08	.....	.....	9,177.17	6,182.82	7,822.53	Teller 56
57 Washington	102,600	87,439.17	4,630.90	640	248.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	88,079.47	11,520.53	4,878.90	Washington 57
58 Weld	150,083.65	126,965.17	7,308.55	6,344.18	3,152.15	640	440.68	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	133,852.35	16,131.30	10,901.38	Weld 58
59 Yuma	89,306.61	73,289.06	4,365.60	1,210	396.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	74,529.06	14,777.58	4,761.69	Yuma 59
Totals	3,759,334.15	2,256,411.55	\$128,912.68	71,663.99	\$29,106.49	20,954.45	\$49,077.65	4,524.04	\$2,530.17	2,690	\$3,283.08	2,406.39	\$2,967.51	2,458,210.32	1,201,293.83	\$229,377.28	Totals



## MISCELLANEOUS LEASES.

COUNTY.	For What Purpose Leased.	Area Leased Acres.	Rental and Royalty Paid 1901-1902.	Rental and Royalty Paid 1903-1904.	Rental and Royalty Paid 1905-1906. \$ 12.00
Arapahoe .....	Residence .....	1 lot	.....	.....	\$ 12.00
Boulder .....	Brick .....	120	.....	\$ 210.00	.....
Chaffee .....	Stone .....	20	\$ 12.50	.....	50.00
Costilla .....	Soda .....	638.59	25.00	100.00	200.00
Cheyenne .....	Fire Clay .....	640	.....	100.00	200.00
Denver .....	Fire Clay .....	2.50	715.85	653.33	591.14
Denver .....	Brick .....	20	643.00	1,278.00	693.23
Denver .....	Brick .....	5.3	.....	.....	100.00
El Paso .....	Lithographic Stone .....	80	.....	.....	80.00
Fremont .....	Marble .....	160	.....	100.00	200.00
Fremont .....	Gypsum .....	160	.....	.....	100.00
Jefferson .....	Clay .....	160	.....	.....	591.14
Mineral .....	Sulphur .....	160	75.00	.....	.....
Pueblo .....	Stone .....	480	.....	.....	100.00
Pueblo .....	Stone .....	40	.....	.....	50.00
Totals .....	.....	.....	\$1,471.35	\$2,441.33	\$2,967.51

## STATEMENT OF

## ADAMS

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
10-24-1905	640	E½	16	1 S	66 W.
		E½ of W½	16	1 S.	66 W.
		W½ of W½	16	1 S.	66 W.
3-20-1906	156.36	N½ of S½	36	1 S.	67 W.

## ARAPAHOE

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
8-22-1905	627.8	All of	16	5 S.	67 W.

## TOWN

Date of Sale	No. of Lots Sold	Townsite
4-11-1905	6	Littleton

## BENT

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
4-11-1905	160	E½ of NW¼	12	21 S.	48 W.
		W½ of NW¼	12	21 S.	48 W.

## CHEYENNE

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
4-10-1906	82	S½ of SW¼ and SW¼ of SE¼	16	14 S.	50 W.

## CONEJOS

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
5-16-1905	3,522.05	SW¼	3	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	4	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	9	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	10	36 N.	8 E.
		W½ of SW¼	11	36 N.	8 E.
		SW¼	14	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	15	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	22	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	23	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and W½ of SW¼	25	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	26	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	27	36 N.	8 E.
		NE¼ and SW¼	35	36 N.	8 E.
12-12-1905	160	SE¼	12	36 N.	8 E.
8- 7-1906	160	NE¼	16	36 N.	6 E.



## LAND SALES.

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	E. A. Bromley, Brighton, Colo.....	\$ 3.50	\$2,480.00
		3.50	
		5.00	
School	Thomas A. Miles, Brighton, Colo.....	15.00	2,345.40

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	The Denver Sugar, Land & Irrigation Co., 610 Continental Building, Denver, Colo.....	\$ 10.00	\$6,278.00

## LOTS.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Amount Paid
School	W. G. Alexander, 35 Jacobson Building, Denver, Colo...	\$500.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Ind. Sch.	Sylvester Needham, Lamar, Colo.....	\$ 5.00	\$1,200.00
Ind. Sch.		10.00	

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Frederick Goodier, Wild Horse, Colo.....	\$ 5.00	\$ 410.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Int. Imp.	The San Luis Valley Irrigation, Land and Power Co., 401 Mining Exchange Bldg., Colorado Springs, Colo.....	\$ 3.50	\$12,327.17

Int. Imp.	Olaf Bergman, Alamosa, Colo.....	6.00	960.00
School	The San Luis Valley Irrigation, Land and Power Co., 401 Mining Exchange Bldg., Colorado Springs, Colorado .....	3.50	560.00

## COSTILLA

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
11-20-1906	640	S $\frac{1}{2}$	27	37 N.	11 E.
		NW $\frac{1}{4}$	34	37 N.	11 E.
		SE $\frac{1}{4}$	34	37 N.	11 E.

## DENVER

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
3-22-1906	61.8	E $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	3 S.	68 W.

## DOUGLAS

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
12-12-1905	636.38	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	6 S.	66 W.
		W $\frac{1}{2}$	16	6 S.	66 W.
		SE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	6 S.	66 W.

## EL PASO

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
8-14-1906	640	All of	16	13 S.	67 W.
9-18-1906	120	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	14 S.	66 W.
		SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	14 S.	66 W.

## FREMONT

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
8-22-1905	625.83	NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	27	18 S.	69 W.
		N $\frac{1}{2}$	28	18 S.	69 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$	28	18 S.	69 W.
		N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	28	18 S.	69 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	28	18 S.	69 W.
10-2-1906	160	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	18 S.	69 W.
10-2-1906	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	27	18 S.	69 W.
10-2-1906	160	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	34	18 S.	69 W.

## GRAND

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
10-17-1905	80	W $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	1 S.	75 W.
10-31-1905	78.59	Lots 1, 2, 3	36	2 N.	79 $\frac{1}{2}$ W.
1- 4-1906	120	W $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	3 N.	80 W.

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Int. Imp.	Peter Hansen, Alamosa, Colo.....	\$ 5.00	\$3,200.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Denver City Tramway Company, Denver, Colo....	\$200.00	\$18,540.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Perry A. Clay, Denver, Colo.....	\$ 10.25	\$4,482.90
		4.00	
		10.00	

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Wm. J. Palmer, Colorado Springs, Colo.....	\$ 4.00	\$2,560.00
School	The Colorado School for the Deaf and the Blind, Colorado Springs, Colo.....	10.00	1,200.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Agri. Col.	R. G. Brown, 422 19th Ave., Denver, Colo.....	\$ 3.50	\$2,190.40

School	T. J. Tong, Canon City, Colo.....	5.00	800.00
Agri. Col.	W. S. Glass, Canon City, Colo.....	5.00	800.00
Agri. Col.	E. B. Trubey, 490 E. 42nd St., Chicago, Ill.....	5.00	800.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	W. C. Fullerton, Central City, Colo.....	\$ 5.00	\$ 400.00
School	Thomas Ennis, Troublesome, Colo.....	5.50	432.25
School	Lillie G. Adams, Sulphur Springs, Colo.....	3.50	420.00

## HUERFANO

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
8-22-1905	640	All of	36	27 S.	68 W.

## JEFFERSON

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
12-12-1905	160	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	12	7 S.	71 W.
		N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	13	7 S.	71 W.
5-22-1906	80	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	23	7 S.	71 W.
6- 6-1905	280	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	4 S.	70 W.
		NW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	4 S.	70 W.
		E $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	4 S.	70 W.
5-15-1906	40	NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	7 S.	71 W.

## LA PLATA

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
8-22-1905	42.76	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	34 N.	8 W.
		W $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 2	16	34 N.	8 W.
5- 5-1905	160	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	34 N.	7 W.

## LARIMER

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
9-212-1905	640	All of	16	7 N.	81 W.
10-24-1905	640	All of	36	8 N.	81 W.
10-24-1905	640	All of	36	10 N.	82 W.
10- 2-1906	71	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	8 N.	69 W.

## LOGAN

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
5-23-1905	160	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	10	6 N.	53 W.
7-17-1906	640	All of	36	10 N.	51 W.

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	G. Lenox Crawford, La Veta, Colo.....	\$ 3.50	\$2,240.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Pub. Bldg.	H. P. Corbin, Pine, Colo.....	\$ 3.50	\$ 560.00
University	Alonzo Wright, Pine Grove, Colo.....	3.50	280.00
School	Joseph Cykler, Burnham, Colo.....	8.00	2,240.00

School	Laura M. Higginson, Buffalo Creek, Colo.....	3.50	140.00
--------	--	------	--------

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Columbia S. Griffith, Durango, Colo.....	\$ 5.00	\$ 213.80
School	Nora Coulson, Bayfield, Colo.....	5.00	800.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	William Marr, Hebron, Colo.....	\$ 6.00	\$3,840.00
School	William Byrd Page, Denver, Colo.....	3.50	2,240.00
School	Charles Boettcher, Denver, Colo.....	3.50	2,240.00
School	M. W. Dealy and R. A. Russell, Fort Collins, Colo.	7.50	592.50

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Ind. Sch.	Benjamin Jones, Atwood, Colo.....	\$ 15.00	\$2,400.00
School	W. J. Powell and J. P. Dillon, Iliff, Colo.....	10.00	6,400.00

## TOWN

Date of Sale	Number of Lots Sold	Townsite
11-14-1905	10	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff
6- 9-1906	2	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff
6- 9-1906	2	Iliff
6- 9-1906	2	Iliff
6- 9-1906	2	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff
6- 9-1906	3	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff
6- 9-1906	2	Iliff
6- 9-1906	1	Iliff

## MINERAL

Date of Sale	No. of Lots Sold	Townsite
11-30-1905	6	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	2	South Creede
11-30-1905	2	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	3	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	2	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	3	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	3	South Creede
11-30-1905	2	South Creede
11-30-1905	13	South Creede
11-30-1905	3	South Creede

## LOTS.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Amount Paid
School	Thomas E. Sharp, Iliff, Colo.....	\$250.50
School	Lillian S. Clouse, Iliff, Colo.....	21.00
School	H. F. Marlow, Iliff, Colo.....	20.00
School	John Gore, Iliff, Colo.....	20.00
School	O. O. Hillman, Iliff, Colo.....	25.00
School	Samuel Walker, Iliff, Colo.....	40.00
School	G. C. Herman, Iliff, Colo.....	20.00
School	L. G. Herman, Iliff, Colo.....	20.00
School	Harry Herman, Iliff, Colo.....	40.00
School	Thomas E. Sharp, Iliff, Colo.....	40.00
School	S. R. Mathews, Iliff, Colo.....	40.00
School	James Crossman, Iliff, Colo.....	20.00
School	J. W. Harlow, Iliff, Colo.....	60.00
School	O. O. Sharp, Iliff, Colo.....	20.00
School	Mrs. Jennie Colburn, Crook, Colo.....	50.00
School	C. A. Colburn, Crook, Colo.....	51.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Amount Paid
School	E. D. Rogers, Creede, Colo.....	\$ 69.00
School	L. F. Hachez, Amethyst, Colo.....	10.00
School	Frank Love, Amethyst, Colo.....	30.00
School	Creede Congregational Church, Amethyst, Colo.....	16.00
School	George W. Horn, Amethyst, Colo.....	10.00
School	Creede Lumber Co., Amethyst, Colo.....	30.00
School	J. W. Skinner, Amethyst, Colo.....	10.00
School	Herman Schultz, Amethyst, Colo.....	25.00
School	Dora T. Snideman, Amethyst, Colo.....	7.50
School	Ed. Grace, Amethyst, Colo.....	40.00
School	Fred Fairhorn, Amethyst, Colo.....	20.00
School	Thomas Cassiday, Amethyst, Colo.....	5.00
School	Sadie Henry Luther, Amethyst, Colo.....	10.00
School	Mrs. Louise Snyder, Amethyst, Colo.....	30.00
School	Mrs. L. Benselin, Amethyst, Colo.....	20.00
School	M. J. Moses, Amethyst, Colo.....	124.00
School	H. A. Mavis, Amethyst, Colo.....	15.00



Date of Sale	No. of Lots Sold	Townsite
11-30-1905	3	South Creede
11-30-1905	4	South Creede
11-30-1905	3	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	2	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	1	South Creede
11-30-1905	3	South Creede
11-30-1905	2	South Creede

## MONTEZUMA

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
6-20-1905	160	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	37 N.	16 W.
8-29-1905	40.05	Lot No. 1	1	35 N.	16 W.
	40.15	Lot No. 2	1	35 N.	16 W.
11-25-1905	160	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	36 N.	16 W.
11-25-1905	160	W $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	11	35 N.	16 W.
11-25-1905	77.57	Lot No. 4 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	18	35 N.	16 W.
11-25-1905	159.02	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	30	35 N.	16 W.
11-25-1905	160	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	9	35 N.	16 W.
11-25-1905	160	W $\frac{1}{2}$ of E $\frac{1}{2}$	18	35 N.	16 W.
11-25-1905	120	NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	26	37 N.	17 W.
11-25-1905	154.25	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	18	35 N.	16 W.
6- 5-1906	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	37 N.	16 W.
6-29-1905	80	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	35	36 N.	16 W.
7-18-1905	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	17	35 N.	16 W.

## TOWN

Date of Sale	No. of Lots Sold	Townsite
11-25-1905	6	Cortez

## MORGAN

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
5-15-1906	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	5 N.	55 W.
6-12-1906	480	N $\frac{1}{2}$	16	3 N.	57 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	3 N.	57 W.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Amount Paid
School	J. H. Henry, Amethyst, Colo.....	25.00
School	W. H. Warren, Amethyst, Colo.....	32.00
School	F. E. Wheeler, Amethyst, Colo.....	35.00
School	D. L. Motz, Amethyst, Colo.....	20.00
School	C. W. Parker, Amethyst, Colo.....	16.00
School	A. L. Miller, Amethyst, Colo.....	15.00
School	R. M. Reynolds, Amethyst, Colo.....	10.00
School	Ira Marshall, Amethyst, Colo.....	30.00
School	D. H. McCulloch, Amethyst, Colo.....	20.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	P. J. O'Donnell, Dolores, Colo.....	\$ 5.50	\$ 880.00
Agri. Col.	C. J. Scharnhorst, Cortez, Colo.....	5.00	401.00
School	William Higman, Cortez, Colo.....	5.00	800.00
Agri. Col.	Anthony Barrett, Cortez, Colo.....	5.00	800.00
Agri. Col.	Elsie D. Blois, Cortez, Colo.....	10.00	775.70
Agri. Col.	Mrs. A. F. Cotten, Silverton, Colo.....	7.00	1,113.14
Agri. Col.	John J. Harris, Dolores, Colo.....	6.50	1,040.00
Agri. Col.	James McEwen, Cortez, Colo.....	7.00	1,120.00
Agri. Col.	Henry Neehouse, Arriola, Colo.....	5.00	600.00
Agri. Col.	R. C. Kermodé, Cortez, Colo.....	7.50	1,156.80
School	W. F. Myers, Dolores, Colo.....	6.00	960.00
Agri. Col.	James Stinson, Cortez, Colo.....	5.00	400.00
Agri. Col.	R. E. Scott, Cortez, Colo.....	5.00	800.00

## LOTS.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Amount Paid
School	Town of Cortez.....	\$60.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Wm. Bailey, Hillrose, Colo.....	\$ 12.50	\$2,000.00
School		6.25	
School	James E. Jewel, Fort Morgan, Colo.....	25.00	5,965.06

## OTERO

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
12-12-1905	640	N $\frac{1}{2}$	36	23 S.	54 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	23 S.	54 W.
		SE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	23 S.	54 W.
3-27-1906	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	17	21 S.	57 W.
5- 1-1906	160	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	3	23 S.	55 W.
5- 1-1906	75.25	NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	2	23 S.	55 W.
		NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	2	23 S.	55 W.
		NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	31	22 S.	54 W.
5- 1-1906	120	NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	31	22 S.	54 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	31	22 S.	54 W.
		E $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	9	22 S.	54 W.
5- 1-1906	200	NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	22 S.	54 W.
		N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	22 S.	55 W.
		S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	22 S.	55 W.
6- 5-1906	599.64	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$	32	22 S.	55 W.
		NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	33	22 S.	55 W.
		S $\frac{1}{2}$ of S $\frac{1}{2}$	36	22 S.	56 W.
6-20-1905	79.62	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	6	23 S.	55 W.
9-18-1906	91.87	Lot No. 2	36	22 S.	57 W.
		Lot No. 3	36	22 S.	57 W.

## PARK

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
12-19-1905	280	NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	28	8 S.	75 W.
		S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	28	8 S.	75 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$	28	8 S.	75 W.
3-13-1906	640	All of	16	11 S.	76 W.
9-21-1905	240	NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	2 N.	80 W.

## PHILLIPS

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
1-17-1905	80	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	9 N.	46 W.
1-24-1905	80	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	9 N.	46 W.
3- 1-1906	40	NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	8 N.	45 W.

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	W. R. Baker, 412 Continental Bldg., Denver, Colo.	\$ 5.25	\$3,320.00
School		5.00	
School		5.25	
Agri. Col.	Orlando Clark, Greeley, Colo.....	15.00	2,400.00
Ind. Sch.	I. D. Hale, Rocky Ford, Colo.....	5.00	800.00
Ind. Sch.	Eliza McConnell, La Junta, Colo.....	15.00	
Ind. Sch.		7.50	865.42
Ind. Sch.	W. N. Randall, Rocky Ford, Colo.....	15.00	
Ind. Sch.		7.50	1,300.00
Ind. Sch.		10.00	
Ind. Sch.	Charles E. Turvey, La Junta, Colo.....	7.50	900.00
School			
School	John C. Gracey, Rocky Ford, Colo.....	7.50	1,300.00
		5.00	
Ind. Sch.	W. E. Fenton, Rocky Ford, Colo.....	5.00	2,998.20
Ind. Sch.			
School			
Ind. Sch.	Andrew W. Shelton, Rocky Ford, Colo.....	5.00	398.10
School		5.00	
School	Franz O. Roeser, Rocky Ford, Colo.....	10.00	700.35

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Int. Imp.	W. R. Milligan, Jefferson, Colo.....	\$ 5.00	\$1,400.00
School	Jackson M. Greiner, Denver, Colo.....	3.50	2,240.00
School	Josephine Spruance, Denver, Colo.....	3.50	840.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Samuel P. Lindgren, Holyoke, Colo.....	\$ 5.00	\$ 400.00
School	Chas. J. Lindgren, Holyoke, Colo.....	5.00	400.00
School	John G. Richardson, Holyoke, Colo.....	3.50	140.00

## PROWERS

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
6-20-1905	40	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	17	22 S.	45 W.
	80	W $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	20	22 S.	45 W.
9-12-1905	150.76	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	22 S.	46 W.
12- 5-1905	480	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	21 S.	47 W.
		NW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	21 S.	47 W.
		SE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	21 S.	47 W.
12- 5-1905	160	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	21 S.	47 W.

## PUEBLO

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
1- 5-1905	80	W $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	11	22 S.	60 W.
	80	E $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	11	22 S.	60 W.
	40	NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	12	22 S.	60 W.
	80	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	12	22 S.	60 W.
	160	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	13	22 S.	60 W.

## RIO GRANDE

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
3-14-1905	142.82	Lot 3 NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	2	39 N.	7 E.
		Lot 4 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	2	39 N.	7 E.
		S $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	2	39 N.	7 E.
8-31-1905	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	20	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	157.6	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	21	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	21	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	160	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	25	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	25	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	160	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	26	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	26	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	160	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	27	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	80	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	27	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	80	E $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	28	39 N.	8 E.
8-31-1905	80	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	28	39 N.	8 E.

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Int. Imp.	Chas. G. Myers, care Granby Hillyer, Lamar,		
Int. Imp.	Colorado .....	\$ 5.00	\$ 600.00
School	Francis D. Pastorius (Box 428), Colorado Springs, Colorado .....	6.75	1,017.60
School	John S. Hasty,	10.75	5,080.00
School	Morton Strain and	10.50	
School	John Fewkes, Lamar, Colo.....	10.50	
School	J. K. Mitchell, J. T. McKnight, Galesburg, Ill....	10.00	1,600.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Int. Imp.	J. D. McDonald, Pueblo, Colo. (Box 244).....	\$ 4.00	\$4,360.00
		10.00	
		9.00	
		12.00	
		12.00	

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Int. Imp.	S. W. S. Woods, Monte Vista, Colo.....	\$ 14.00	\$1,999.48
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	4.50	720.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	5.00	788.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	3.50	560.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	3.50	560.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	3.50	560.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	3.50	560.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	3.50	560.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	3.50	560.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	4.00	320.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	4.00	320.00
Int. Imp.	The Colorado Valley Land Co., Denver, Colo., (Box 1544) .....	6.00	480.00

## RIO GRANDE

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
11- 7-1905	474.88	NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$	5	49 N.	8 E.
		NW $\frac{1}{4}$	8	40 N.	8 E.
3-27-1906	157.55	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	40 N.	8 E.
10-17-1905	158	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	40 N.	6 E.
8-15-1905	40	SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	39 N.	5 E.
	40	SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	39 N.	5 E.

## ROUTT

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
7-25-1905	640	All of	36	3 N.	83 W.
12-12-1905	320	N $\frac{1}{2}$	36	7 N.	90 W.
2- 6-1906	320	E $\frac{1}{2}$	16	12 N.	89 W.
5-11-1906	360	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	6 N.	86 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	6 N.	86 W.
		W $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	6 N.	86 W.
		SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	6 N.	86 W.
		N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	6 N.	86 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	6 N.	86 W.
8-14-1906	120	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	4 N.	84 W.
7- 6-1905	80	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	5 N.	90 W.
9-11-1906	320	W $\frac{1}{2}$	16	12 N.	89 W.

## SEDGWICK

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
1- 3-1905	160	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	11 N.	44 W.
2- 7-1905	640	All of	16	9 N.	46 W.
5- 8-1906	320	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	12 N.	46 W.
		SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	12 N.	46 W.
5-15-1906	791.69	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	11 N.	47 W.
		W $\frac{1}{2}$	16	11 N.	47 W.
		SE $\frac{1}{4}$	16	11 N.	47 W.
		NE $\frac{1}{4}$	36	12 N.	46 W.

## WELD

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
6-20-1905	158.19	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	16	4 N.	65 W.
8-29-1905	34.2	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	36	5 N.	63 W.
9-11-1906	320	N $\frac{1}{2}$	16	8 N.	66 W.
9-11-1906	320	S $\frac{1}{2}$	16	8 N.	66 W.



## COUNTY.—Continued.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
Int. Imp.	George M. Davis, Denver, Colo.....	3.50	1,660.50
School	J. L. Smalley, Monte Vista Colo.....	18.00	8,235.90
School	Henry Voss, Del Norte, Colo.....	6.25	987.50
School	Jack W. Warr, Del Norte, Colo.....	3.50	280.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Daniel W. Shea, Denver, Colo., St. James Hotel....	\$ 3.50	\$2,240.00
School	U. F. Harrison, Craig, Colo.....	10.00	3,200.00
School	Robert McIntosh, Slater, Colo.....	5.00	1,600.00
School	F. E. Milner, Steamboat Springs, Colo.....	12.00	3,840.00
School		12.00	
School		12.00	
School		12.00	
School		8.00	
School		8.00	
School	Joseph Gabioud, Harrison, Colo.....	7.50	900.00
School	James A. Bennett, Pagoda, Colo.....	10.00	800.00
School	Sarah M. Morgan, Slater, Colo.....	5.00	1,600.00

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	Adolph Eeckhout, Julesburg, Colo.....	\$ 3.50	\$ 560.00
School	Andrew J. Anderson, Holyoke, Colo.....	3.50	2,240.00
School	W. J. McPherson, Denver, Colo., 416 Quincy Bldg..	11.25	5,840.00
School		25.25	
School	C. F. Parker, Julesburg, Colo.....	15.00	6,050.92
School		3.50	
School		10.00	
School		6.00	

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	R. E. Allred, La Salle, Colo.....	\$ 10.00	\$1,581.90
School	Frank Benton, Hardin, Colo.....	5.00	171.00
School	C. F. Finley, New Windsor, Colo.....	8.70	2,784.00
School	C. F. Finley, New Windsor, Colo.....	6.50	2,080.00

## YUMA

Date of Sale	Acres	Subdivisions	Sec.	Twp.	Range
8-15-1905	640	All of	16	1 N.	43 W.
8-15-1905	640	All of	36	1 N.	44 W.
9- 5-1905	560	N $\frac{1}{2}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	25	3 S.	45 W.
		SE $\frac{1}{4}$	26	3 S.	45 W.

## COUNTY.

Kind of Land	Name and Address to Whom Sold	Price per Acre	Total Purchase Price
School	M. C. Briggs, Wray, Colo.....	\$ 6.00	\$3,840.00
School	L. D. Brown, Wray, Colo.....	10.00	6,400.00
Int. Imp.	Mrs. Auguste Davisson, Idalia, Colo.....	10.00	5,000.00

## TIMBER SOLD DURING BIENNIAL TERM ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 1906.

COUNTY	Kind of Land	No. Acres	Appraised Estimate of Quantity in Feet	Purchase Price Paid	Average Price Paid per M Feet
Archuleta .....	School .....	1,120	1,400,000	\$1,750.00	\$1.25
Chaffee .....	School .....	320	100,000	200.00	2.00
Clear Creek.....	School .....	640	Dead and down timber	100.00	....
Fremont .....	School .....	342.88	Dead and down timber	100.00	....
Las Animas.....	School .....	960	1,941,190	5,201.56	2.68
La Plata.....	School .....	720	750,000	1,225.00	1.63
Park .....	School .....	280	382,340	540.81	1.42
Park .....	Internal Improvement.....	960.69	402,600	603.90	1.50
				<hr/>	<hr/>
				4,976,130	\$1.91
				<hr/>	<hr/>
				\$9,721.27	

## RIGHTS OF WAY GRANTED DURING BIENNIAL TERM ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 1906.

COUNTY.	Reservoirs, Acres.	Ditches, Acres.	R. R.'s Acres.	Public Roads, Acres.	School Houses, Acres.	Mis- cellaneous.	Revenue Received. \$
Adams .....	.....	.....	22.26	.....	.....	.....	\$ 1,669.50
Adams .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1.00
Arapahoe .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1.00
Bent .....	.....	2.775	.....	.....	.....	.....	27.75
Bent .....	.....	.....	44.33	.....	.....	.....	313.27
Boulder .....	.....	.....	.....	3.6	.....	.....	1.00
Boulder .....	94.41	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	473.10
Boulder .....	2.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	20.00
Boulder .....	.....	1.27	.....	.....	.....	.....	12.70
Chaffee .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1.00
Conejos .....	114.2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	571.00
Conejos .....	735.88	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,679.40
Conejos .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1.00
Denver .....	.....	.....	5.80	.....	.....	.....	1,160.00
Douglas .....	.....	.....	9.26	.....	.....	.....	32.41
El Paso .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1.00
Fremont .....	.....	16.29	.....	.....	.....	.....	81.45
Fremont .....	.....	.....	3.12	.....	.....	.....	15.60
Grand .....	.....	.....	18.15	.....	.....	.....	63.53
Grand .....	.....	.....	18.27	.....	.....	.....	63.95
Grand .....	.....	.....	35.8	.....	.....	.....	179.00

## RIGHTS OF WAY GRANTED DURING BIENNIAL TERM ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 1906--Continued.

COUNTY.	Reservoirs, Acres.	Ditches, Acres.	R. R.'s Acres.	Public Roads, Acres.	School Houses, Acres.	Mis- cellaneous.	Revenue Received.
Jefferson .....	23.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	236.00
Kiowa .....	2,943.98	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,943.98
Larimer .....	.....	10.9	.....	.....	.....	.....	109.00
Larimer .....	.....	1.75	.....	.....	.....	.....	131.25
Larimer .....	.....	3.32	.....	.....	.....	.....	33.20
Larimer .....	.....	8.11	.....	.....	.....	.....	81.10
Larimer .....	.....	1.31	.....	.....	.....	.....	13.10
Larimer .....	.....	21.603	.....	.....	.....	.....	75.60
Larimer .....	.....	2.32	.....	.....	.....	.....	23.20
Larimer .....	.....	1.7	.....	.....	.....	.....	34.00
Larimer .....	7.61	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	456.60
Larimer .....	40.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	400.00
Larimer .....	440.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,200.00
Larimer .....	.....	.....	.....	3.43	.....	.....	1.40
Larimer .....	.....	.....	12.41	.....	.....	.....	311.20
Larimer .....	.....	.....	12.2	.....	.....	.....	42.70
Larimer .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Pipe Line	1.00
Las Animas .....	.....	.....	6.81	.....	.....	.....	35.73
Las Animas .....	.....	23.9	.....	.....	.....	.....	179.00
Logan .....	.....	28.72	.....	.....	.....	.....	100.52
Logan .....	.....	.....	5.56	.....	.....	.....	1.00



Morgan	.....	3.66	.....	.....	1.00
Otero	.....	3.979	.....	.....	39.79
Otero	.....	7.36	.....	.....	79.60
Otero	.....	11.79	.....	.....	117.90
Otero	.....	5.9	.....	.....	59.10
Otero	.....	19.63	.....	.....	59.25
Otero	.....	50.31	.....	.....	710.23
Otero	.....	6.11	.....	.....	30.55
Otero	.....	.....	.....	.....	38.05
Otero	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.00
Otero	.....	.....	.....	.....	19.08
Pueblo	.....	8.62	.....	.....	1.00
Pueblo	.....	1.04	.....	.....	26.00
Pueblo	.....	22.79	.....	.....	113.95
Routt	.....	114.131	.....	.....	570.65
Routt	.....	.....	.....	1	1.00
Routt	.....	1.458	.....	.....	7.30
Routt	.....	23.27	.....	.....	81.45
Routt	.....	.....	.....	.....	169.25
Rio Grande	.....	45.5	.....	.....	1.00
Rio Grande	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.00
Sedgwick	.....	8.31	.....	.....	29.08
Teller	.....	.....	.....	.....	30.00
Teller	.....	.....	.....	.....	81.00

## RIGHTS OF WAY GRANTED DURING BIENNIAL TERM ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 1906—Concluded.

COUNTY.	Reservoirs, Acres.	Ditches, Acres.	R. R.'s Acres.	Public Roads, Acres.	School Houses, Acres.	Mis- cellaneous.	Revenue Received.
Weld .....	.....	1.26	.....	.....	.....	.....	18.90
Weld .....	.....	2.93	.....	.....	.....	.....	29.30
Weld .....	.....	6.12	.....	.....	.....	.....	42.85
Weld .....	.....	2.11	.....	.....	.....	.....	21.90
Weld .....	.....	8.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	129.00
Weld .....	.....	2.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	26.00
Weld .....	.....	1.88	.....	.....	.....	.....	18.80
Weld .....	33.4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	334.00
Weld .....	113.364	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,133.64
Weld .....	48.2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	482.00
Weld .....	13.97	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	139.70
Weld .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Pipe Line	1.00
Weld .....	.....	.....	6.24	.....	.....	.....	21.81
Weld .....	.....	.....	11.1	.....	.....	.....	277.50
Weld .....	.....	.....	3.2	.....	.....	.....	80.00
Weld .....	.....	.....	5.28	.....	.....	.....	261.00
Weld .....	.....	.....	1.212	.....	.....	.....	6.05
Weld .....	.....	.....	14.056	.....	.....	.....	49.20
Weld .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1.00
Weld .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1.00
Weld .....	.....	.....	.....	5.5	.....	.....	1.00



**GENERAL STATEMENT SHOWING AREA OF LANDS  
GRANTED TO STATE FOR VARIOUS FUNDS, ACRE-  
AGE SOLD AND ACREAGE REMAINING, SCHOOL  
AND INDEMNITY SCHOOL LANDS.**

County.	Total Acreage of School and Indemnity Lands Granted to State.	Total Acreage Sold.	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State.
Adams ..	40,840	796.36	40,043.64
Arapahoe ..	27,180	627.8	26,552.20
Archuleta ..	26,618.21	.....	26,618.21
Baca ..	88,902.96	440	88,462.96
Bent ..	121,422.88	3,716.66	117,706.22
Boulder ..	21,001	6,566	14,435
Chaffee ..	40,392.25	392.25	40,000
Cheyenne ..	64,000	82	63,918
Clear Creek ..	10,159.45	160	9,999.45
Conejos ..	73,303.62	7,721	65,582.62
Costilla ..	18,080	960	17,120
Custer ..	19,613.25	653.25	18,960
Denver ..	1,172.67	61.8	1,110.87
Delta ..	.....	.....	.....
Dolores ..	23,680	.....	23,680
Douglas ..	22,181	4,597.38	17,583.62
Eagle ..	48,924.97	.....	48,924.97
Elbert ..	143,812.85	560	143,252.85
El Paso ..	222,383.31	4,577.86	217,805.45
Fremont ..	56,621.10	2,579.09	54,042.01
Garfield ..	.....	.....	.....
Gilpin ..	3,001	201	2,800
Grand ..	69,397.82	918.59	68,479.23
Gunnison ..	11,525	460	11,065
Hinsdale ..	21,840	.....	21,840
Huerfano ..	52,041.66	1,080	50,961.66
Jefferson ..	16,588.47	5,581.47	11,007.00
Kiowa ..	105,362.15	.....	105,362.15
Kit Carson ..	75,442.77	1,080	74,362.77
Lake ..	1,000	.....	1,000
La Plata ..	26,184.77	2,243.52	23,941.25
Larimer ..	146,009.32	6,095.10	139,914.22
Las Animas ..	150,105.04	3,730.35	146,374.69
Lincoln ..	139,542.05	.....	139,542.05

GENERAL STATEMENT SHOWING AREA OF LANDS  
GRANTED TO STATE FOR VARIOUS FUNDS, ACRE-  
AGE SOLD AND ACREAGE REMAINING, SCHOOL  
AND INDEMNITY SCHOOL LANDS—Concluded.

County	Total Acreage of School and Indemnity Lands Granted to State.	Total Acreage Sold.	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State.
Legan .....	151,120	2,880	148,240
Mesa .....			
Mineral .....	10,080	5	10,075
Montezuma .....	12,862.67	520	42,342.67
Montrose .....			
Morgan .....	57,922.37	1,640	55,682.37
Otero .....	175,516.68	30,592.64	144,924.04
Ouray .....	5,851.92	10	5,841.92
Park .....	20,963.64	5,000	15,963.64
Phillips .....	25,462.84	520	24,942.84
Pitkin .....	9,184.91		9,184.91
Prowers .....	62,949.55	6,258.76	56,690.79
Pueblo .....	237,528	4,541.33	232,986.67
Rio Blanco .....	5,440		5,440
Rio Grande .....	21,798	2,083.55	19,714.45
Routt .....	324,438.47	8,281.50	316,153.97
Saguache .....	78,652.24	240	78,412.24
San Juan .....	13,760		13,760
San Miguel .....	31,998.27	200	31,798.27
Sedgwick .....	31,821.98	1,911.63	29,910.29
Summit .....	17,280		17,280
Teller .....	15,520	160	15,360
Washington .....	101,160	640	100,520
Weld .....	157,955.04	10,271.39	147,683.65
Yuma .....	82,576.25	1,920	80,656.25
Totals .....	3,561,572.40	133,560.31	3,428,012.06

## AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE LANDS.

## STATUTORY GRANT 90,000 ACRES.

COUNTY	Total Acreage Granted to State	Total Acreage Sold	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State
Baca .....	635.42	.....	635.42
Bent .....	1,903.95	.....	1,903.95
Fremont .....	9,585.44	6,138.46	3,446.98
Kit Carson.....	160	.....	160
Larimer .....	5,801.50	.....	5,801.50
Las Animas.....	1,994.45	.....	1,994.45
Montezuma .....	19,162.29	1,711.04	17,451.25
Otero .....	42,589.59	39,109.61	3,479.98
Pueblo .....	6,096.71	.....	6,096.71
Routt .....	1,996.28	.....	1,996.28
Totals .....	89,925.63	46,959.11	42,966.52

## INTERNAL IMPROVEMENT LANDS.

## STATUTORY GRANT 500,000 ACRES.

COUNTY	Total Acreage Granted to State	Total Acreage Sold	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State
Bent .....	9,263.24	3,002.87	6,260.37
Chaffee .....	3,692.81	.....	3,692.81
Conejos .....	136,611.10	120,237.37	16,373.73
Costilla .....	57,984.60	12,704.85	45,279.75
Lake .....	3,839.06	2,742.60	1,096.46
Logan .....	4,399.46	2,399.46	2,000
Morgan .....	14,216.56	14,216.56	.....
Otero .....	9,143.94	7,423.94	1,720
Park .....	57,761.86	9,197.57	48,564.29
Prowers .....	10,423.02	3,991.08	6,431.94
Pueblo .....	3,524.18	2,034.62	1,489.56
Rio Grande .....	83,835.50	58,862.02	24,973.48
Saguache .....	95,187.53	19,338.23	75,849.30
Washington .....	3,105.48	2,465.48	640
Yuma .....	9,210.39	560	8,650.39
Totals .....	502,198.73	259,176.65	243,022.08



## PENITENTIARY LANDS.

STATUTORY GRANT 32,000 ACRES.

COUNTY	Total Acreage Granted to State	Total Acreage Sold	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State
Bent .....	9,247.62	7,167.62	2,080
Chaffee .....	8,380.65	1,944.78	6,435.87
Conejos .....	13,637.22	12,279.72	1,357.50
Totals .....	31,265.49	21,392.12	9,873.37

## PUBLIC BUILDING LANDS.

STATUTORY GRANT 32,000 ACRES.

COUNTY	Total Acreage Granted to State	Total Acreage Sold	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State
Bent .....	6,301.05	6,141.05	160
Clear Creek.....	1,427.56	.....	1,427.56
Conejos .....	2,758.29	1,519.67	1,238.62
Jefferson .....	2,233.49	483.73	1,749.76
Morgan .....	16,784.23	16,736.26	47.97
Weld .....	2,400	.....	2,400
Totals .....	31,904.62	24,880.71	7,023.91

## SALINE LANDS.

STATUTORY GRANT, TWELVE SPRINGS, SIX SECTIONS FOR EACH  
SALT SPRING DISCOVERED. FIVE SPRINGS ONLY  
DISCOVERED.

COUNTY	Total Acreage Granted to State	Total Acreage Sold	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State
Park .....	18,836.62	2,473.76	16,362.86

## REFORMATORY LANDS.

COUNTY	Total Acreage Deeded to State	Total Acreage Sold	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State
Chaffee .....	520	.....	520

## UNIVERSITY LANDS.

STATUTORY GRANT 46,080 ACRES.

COUNTY	Total Acreage Granted to State	Total Acreage Sold	Total Acreage Remaining Property of State
Donejos .....	1,040	960	\$0
Jefferson .....	8,600	1,840	6,760
Logan .....	24,020.95	20,547.60	3,473.35
Morgan .....	4,595.92	4,595.92	.....
Washington .....	7,627.56	6,187.56	1,440
Totals .....	45,884.43	34,131.08	11,753.35

CASH RECEIVED BY STATE LAND DEPARTMENT FROM DECEMBER 1, 1904, TO NOVEMBER 30, 1906, AND PAID TO STATE TREASURER.

Month	Year	School Fund		Internal Improvement Fund		Agricultural College Fund		Public Building Fund		University Fund		Mineral Survey	Saline Fund	Penitentiary	Fund	Appraisalment	Land Commissioners'	Total
		Permanent	Income	Permanent	Income	Permanent	Income	Permanent	Income	Permanent	Income	Fund	Income	Permanent	Income	\$	Cash Fund	
December	1904	\$ 3,761.88	\$ 15,315.41	\$ 1,613.37	\$ 2,884.90	\$ 542.73	\$ 816.03	.....	\$ 56.15	.....	\$ 48.00	\$ 40.00	.....	.....	.....	\$ 130.00	\$ 781.75	\$ 25,990.22
January	1905	6,919.78	16,987.84	1,896.20	2,609.22	262.75	187.52	\$ 8.00	61.84	.....	353.85	.....	\$ 10.00	.....	\$ 24.00	80.00	821.20	30,212.20
February	1905	4,798.69	12,296.27	895.28	2,562.47	2,116.00	2,742.31	.....	12.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	40.00	30.00	760.00	26,253.02
March	1905	2,494.02	12,220.71	3,087.53	2,977.06	1,581.27	2,068.35	.....	.....	.....	10.00	.....	.....	.....	73.60	110.00	792.20	25,414.74
April	1905	4,291.61	11,237.78	5,865.13	5,047.50	2,166.11	2,352.62	.....	84.00	.....	.....	10.00	18.00	.....	41.00	110.00	831.25	32,061.00
May	1905	2,761.35	16,259.87	1,854.47	1,931.73	990.50	373.33	.....	20.00	.....	175.50	.....	12.00	.....	23.00	150.00	1,047.25	25,602.30
June	1905	3,282.30	11,599.22	514.57	2,908.55	1,186.47	1,134.71	.....	.....	.....	12.00	20.00	.....	.....	48.00	100.00	833.20	21,639.02
July	1905	2,703.46	11,186.42	424.71	2,207.00	1,955.13	1,295.48	.....	30.00	.....	126.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	150.00	1,195.00	21,273.20
August	1905	1,508.77	18,284.75	237.47	1,925.11	.....	\$9.89	.....	10.00	.....	90.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	150.00	1,047.75	23,343.74
September	1905	12,600.92	11,767.60	946.18	2,243.77	1,004.86	911.20	.....	45.20	.....	221.65	.....	.....	.....	22.00	120.00	907.50	30,730.78
October	1905	7,994.24	15,862.21	1,931.09	1,881.74	.....	157.00	.....	10.00	.....	24.00	.....	10.00	.....	.....	120.00	3,613.00	31,603.58
November	1905	3,377.31	19,628.30	524.55	1,583.02	110.00	150.76	.....	46.15	.....	48.00	.....	.....	.....	16.00	90.00	1,120.00	26,691.09
December	1905	1,561.67	15,604.68	1,360.36	1,178.87	414.00	165.64	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	\$ 1.00	66.00	70.00	1,706.00	22,128.22
January	1906	6,002.56	19,718.14	2,418.01	2,426.19	1,173.26	299.81	64.00	52.96	.....	10.00	.....	20.00	.....	60.00	240.00	1,740.80	34,225.73
February	1906	1,845.46	14,943.24	1,415.59	2,047.05	3,076.03	2,683.65	.....	20.00	.....	34.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	200.00	1,847.70	27,212.72
March	1906	8,055.98	13,113.01	3,198.95	3,130.12	55.00	326.00	.....	.....	.....	12.80	.....	.....	.....	66.00	179.50	1,024.00	29,161.86
April	1906	3,206.32	13,942.83	6,182.15	3,900.00	160.00	209.55	.....	68.00	.....	32.20	.....	10.00	.....	10.00	130.00	994.50	28,845.29
May	1906	2,510.74	19,430.79	120.00	1,662.85	740.00	494.72	.....	50.00	.....	216.60	.....	12.00	.....	16.00	120.50	1,016.05	26,390.25
June	1906	9,041.87	16,736.79	159.70	2,396.81	9,959.25	6,207.55	.....	60.00	.....	20.00	40.00	20.40	.....	58.00	280.00	1,457.00	46,437.37
July	1906	1,758.86	16,364.82	3,896.03	3,395.27	.....	150.00	.....	20.00	.....	92.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	130.00	1,054.33	26,861.31
August	1906	2,119.89	16,828.88	513.16	1,427.17	4,116.84	3,056.28	.....	.....	.....	310.85	80.00	40.00	110.70	12.00	90.00	1,146.80	30,482.57
September	1906	5,736.50	18,919.55	408.00	1,785.99	772.00	82.74	.....	32.00	28.00	68.34	60.00	.....	.....	10.00	217.00	657.70	28,777.48
October	1906	6,629.68	17,363.71	2,437.01	2,613.33	210.56	66.42	.....	.....	.....	60.00	.....	25.60	.....	36.00	200.00	940.70	30,483.01
November	1906	9,515.81	19,904.30	662.61	764.43	681.50	286.02	.....	56.15	.....	48.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	240.00	712.00	32,800.82
Totals		\$114,578.67	\$375,517.02	\$ 42,562.42	\$ 57,390.15	\$ 33,761.26	\$ 26,307.58	\$ 72.00	\$734.45	\$ 28.00	\$ 2,014.09	\$250.00	\$178.00	\$111.70	\$627.60	\$ 3,437.00	\$ 27,080.68	\$681,683.62
Total amount paid to State Treasurer, biennial term, 1905-1906.....		\$684,683.62																
Total amount paid to State Treasurer, biennial term, 1903-1904.....		574,176.04																
Total amount paid to State Treasurer, biennial term, 1901-1902.....		372,372.79																

NAME		RESIDENCE		EDUCATION	
1	...	...	...	...	...
2	...	...	...	...	...
3	...	...	...	...	...
4	...	...	...	...	...
5	...	...	...	...	...
6	...	...	...	...	...
7	...	...	...	...	...
8	...	...	...	...	...
9	...	...	...	...	...
10	...	...	...	...	...
11	...	...	...	...	...
12	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	...	...	...
14	...	...	...	...	...
15	...	...	...	...	...
16	...	...	...	...	...
17	...	...	...	...	...
18	...	...	...	...	...
19	...	...	...	...	...
20	...	...	...	...	...
21	...	...	...	...	...
22	...	...	...	...	...
23	...	...	...	...	...
24	...	...	...	...	...
25	...	...	...	...	...
26	...	...	...	...	...
27	...	...	...	...	...
28	...	...	...	...	...
29	...	...	...	...	...
30	...	...	...	...	...
31	...	...	...	...	...
32	...	...	...	...	...
33	...	...	...	...	...
34	...	...	...	...	...
35	...	...	...	...	...
36	...	...	...	...	...
37	...	...	...	...	...
38	...	...	...	...	...
39	...	...	...	...	...
40	...	...	...	...	...
41	...	...	...	...	...
42	...	...	...	...	...
43	...	...	...	...	...
44	...	...	...	...	...
45	...	...	...	...	...
46	...	...	...	...	...
47	...	...	...	...	...
48	...	...	...	...	...
49	...	...	...	...	...
50	...	...	...	...	...
51	...	...	...	...	...
52	...	...	...	...	...
53	...	...	...	...	...
54	...	...	...	...	...
55	...	...	...	...	...
56	...	...	...	...	...
57	...	...	...	...	...
58	...	...	...	...	...
59	...	...	...	...	...
60	...	...	...	...	...
61	...	...	...	...	...
62	...	...	...	...	...
63	...	...	...	...	...
64	...	...	...	...	...
65	...	...	...	...	...
66	...	...	...	...	...
67	...	...	...	...	...
68	...	...	...	...	...
69	...	...	...	...	...
70	...	...	...	...	...
71	...	...	...	...	...
72	...	...	...	...	...
73	...	...	...	...	...
74	...	...	...	...	...
75	...	...	...	...	...
76	...	...	...	...	...
77	...	...	...	...	...
78	...	...	...	...	...
79	...	...	...	...	...
80	...	...	...	...	...
81	...	...	...	...	...
82	...	...	...	...	...
83	...	...	...	...	...
84	...	...	...	...	...
85	...	...	...	...	...
86	...	...	...	...	...
87	...	...	...	...	...
88	...	...	...	...	...
89	...	...	...	...	...
90	...	...	...	...	...
91	...	...	...	...	...
92	...	...	...	...	...
93	...	...	...	...	...
94	...	...	...	...	...
95	...	...	...	...	...
96	...	...	...	...	...
97	...	...	...	...	...
98	...	...	...	...	...
99	...	...	...	...	...
100	...	...	...	...	...









RECEIVED

FEB 26 1995

STATE PUBLICATIONS  
Colorado State Library